arXiv:math/0602358v1 [math.AG] 16 Feb 2006

On the Brill-Noether theory for K3 surfaces II

Maxim Leyenson

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let (S, H) be a polarized K3 surface, E be a coherent sheaf on S and $W \subset H^0(S, E)$ be a linear subspace. If we are lucky, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \to E \to E' \to 0$$

which gives a correspondence between moduli spaces of sheaves of different ranks which we used in the first part of the paper to establish some properties of Brill-Noether loci in the moduli space. We allow E to be locally free, torsion free of rank one or a line bundle with support on a curve, thus studying simultaneously Brill-Noether special vector bundles, special 0-cycles and special linear systems on curves.

To complete the work begun in the Part 1, we need to establish a number of properties of this correspondence. In this paper we prove that it behaves nicely for globally generated vector bundles, establish the existence of globally generated vector bundles in moduli spaces on K3, and prove that the correspondence preserves stability if $\operatorname{Pic} S = \mathbb{Z}c_1(E)$, thus completing the work of Part 1.

Contents

0.1 Introduction 3
0.2 Acknowledgments. 4

1 Preliminaries. 5
1.1 Two duality lemmas. 5
1.2 Acceptable sheaves on surfaces 9
1.3 One corollary of the Hilbert-Burch theorem 9
1.4 Poincare polynomials 9
1.5 Vanishing of $H^2(X, E)$ for stable E with positive c_1 10
1.6 Extensions of modules over a commutative ring. 10
1.7 Computation of $\mathcal{E}xt^i(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X)$ 11
1.8 Computation of $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X))$ 11
1.9 Spectral sequence for $\operatorname{Ext}^1(F, G)$ 11
1.10 Spectral sequence for $\operatorname{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O})$ for acceptable sheaf on a surface. 12
    1.10.1 Case $\operatorname{rk} F = 0$ 12

1.10.2 Case $\mathrm{rk}\, F = 1$ 12
1.10.3 Case $\mathrm{rk}\, F \geq 2$ 13
1.11 Locally free extensions $0 \to \mathcal{O} \to E \to J_{\xi}(L) \to 0$ 13
1.11.1 Local case 13
1.11.2 Global case 14
1.12 $\mathrm{End}_A(J) = 0$ 15
1.13 Torsion free extensions $0 \to \mathcal{O} \to E \to i_* B \to 0$ 16
1.13.1 Affine case 16
1.13.2 Global case. 18
1.14 Locally free extensions $0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to i_* B \to 0$ 20
1.14.1 Affine case 20
1.14.2 Global case. 20
1.15 Criteria for a generic extension to be acceptable. 21
1.16 Stability of extensions and factors 23
1.17 Flag $\xi \subset C \subset X$ 29
1.18 Globally generated sheaves and the correspondence 30
1.19 Special 0-cycles on surfaces 30
1.19.1 Speciality index of a 0-cycle. 30
1.19.2 Caley-Bacharash 0-cycles 31
1.19.3 Speciality index of a 0-cycle on a curve. 32
1.19.4 Speciality index of a 0-cycle on a curve on a surface. . . . 32
1.19.5 0-cycle on a curve on $K3$ 33

2 Injectivity of generic evaluation map for globally generated vector bundles 34
2.1 The injectivity lemma. 34
2.2 Grassmanian lemma 34

3 One application of Bertini theorem 36
3.1 Smoothness lemma 36
3.2 $Z(s)$ for a generic section of a globally generated bundle 37

4 Computation of $J_{\xi}(L)/\mathcal{O}_X$ 40

5 Good degeneration of e_V in the globally generated case 41

6 Construction of Brill-Noether loci 43
6.1 Complex $K(E)$ 43
6.2 Relative case 44
6.3 Fibers of $K(\mathcal{E})$ 44
6.4 Construction of Brill-Noether loci 45

7 Construction of the correspondence 45
7.1 The space of Brill-Noether pairs 45
7.1.1 α-stable Brill-Noether pairs 45
7.1.2 ϵ-stable Brill-Noether pairs 46
7.2 The space of good Brill-Noether pairs 47

7.3 Description of fibers 48
7.4 Nonemptiness of fibers 50

8 The correspondence and the Brill-Noether loci 50

9 Globally generated line bundles on curves 51
9.1 Varieties ${}_pA^k_d$ and ${}_pB^k_d$ 51
9.1.1 51
9.1.2 51
9.1.3 53
9.1.4 53
9.2 Varieties of non-globally generated line bundles. 53
9.2.1 Bundles not globally generated at a given point 53
9.2.2 Varying the point $p \in C$. 54
9.3 Globally generated line bundles on Brill-Noether-general curves . 55

0.1 Introduction

Let X be an algebraic surface. (Later we will need to assume that the characteristics of the base field is 0.)

We call a coherent sheaf E on X acceptable if $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$ and E is locally free, or if $\operatorname{rk} E = 1$ and E is torsion free, or if $\operatorname{rk} E = 0$ and E is an invertible sheaf on a curve on X.

Let A be the moduli space of pairs (E, V), where E is a stable acceptable sheaf of a given class in $K_0(S)$ and $V \subset H^0(X, E)$ (it is constructed later in the paper). If we are lucky, a point in this moduli space gives an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0,$$

where E' is also acceptable and stable. This gives a correspondence between moduli spaces of acceptable sheaves of different ranks which we studied in [11].

It turns out to be non-trivial to prove that this correspondence is non-empty and well-behaved. In this paper we prove that it behaves in "naively expected way" for globally generated vector bundles in the case $\operatorname{Pic} X = \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we prove the following results we use in [11]:

0.1.0.1 Monomorphic evaluation maps: Let X be an algebraic variety, E be a globally generated vector bundle on X, and $l \leq \operatorname{rk} E$. Then for a *generic* vector space $V \in Gr(l, H^0(X, E))$ the evaluation map $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E$ is monomorphic.

0.1.0.2 Acceptable extensions: Let X be an algebraic surface, E' be an acceptable sheaf on X, and let W be a k-vector space. Let $\mathbb{A} = \operatorname{Ext}^1_X(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$. A point $e \in \mathbb{A}$ gives an extension class of the form

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0$$

1. If $\operatorname{rk} E' \geq 2$, then E is acceptable (this is a trivial part);
2. if $\operatorname{rk} E' = 1$, $E' = J_\xi(L)$, where L is ample, and the pair $(\xi, L + K_X)$ is Caley-Bacharash, then for a *generic* $e \in \mathbb{A}$ the sheaf E is acceptable;
3. if $\operatorname{rk} E' = 0$, $E' = (i_C)_*(B)$, and $A := N_{C/X} B^{-1}$ is globally generated, then for a *generic* $e \in \mathbb{A}$ the sheaf E is acceptable.

0.1.0.3 Acceptable factors: Let X be a smooth algebraic surface in characteristics 0, E be a globally generated vector bundle on X, and $1 \leq l \leq \operatorname{rk} E$. Then for a *generic* vector space $V \in Gr(l, H^0(E))$ the cokernel of the evaluation map $e_V : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E$ is acceptable.

0.1.0.4 Stable extensions: Let (X, H) be a polarized algebraic surface, and let E' be an H-stable acceptable sheaf on X. Assume that we are given an extension

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0$$

Let e be the class of this extension in $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$, and let α_e be the image of e under the isomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(W^\vee, \operatorname{Ext}^1(E', \mathcal{O}))$.

1. If α_e is not injective, then E is not H-stable;
2. If α_e is injective, E' is acceptable, and $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z} \cdot c_1(E)$, where $c_1(E)$ is ample, then E is H - stable.

0.1.0.5 Stable factors: Let (X, H) be a polarized algebraic surface, E be a stable coherent sheaf on X, and assume that we are given an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0$$

where E' is torsion-free. Assume that $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z} \cdot c_1(E)$, where $c_1(E)$ is ample. Then E' is H-stable.

0.1.0.6 Existence of globally generated vector bundles in moduli spaces on K3. Let X be a K3 surface (this is the only place in this paper where we use that X K3). Assume that $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}h$, h ample, and consider a nonempty moduli space M of vector bundles on S with $\operatorname{rk} E = r$, $c_1(E) = h$ and $c_2(E) = d$. Then there is a globally generated vector bundle $E \in M$.

We also construct explicit complex of locally free sheaves computing the cohomology groups of a locally free sheaf varying in a family and prove numerous results on special 0-cycles, linear systems and vector bundles.

0.2 Acknowledgments.

In addition to all the acknowledgements expressed in the previous paper I would like to thank Andrey Levin (ITEP, Moscow) for very helpful discussions. The idea of the proof of Lemma 2.2.0.2 given in this paper belongs to him.

1 Preliminaries.

1.1 Two duality lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let X be a scheme, C be a Cartier divisor on X, and B be an invertible sheaf on C (more precisely, on $\operatorname{Supp} C$). Let i be the embedding of $\operatorname{Supp} C$ into X. If J is a sheaf of ideals defining C, then J/J^2 is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_C$ sheaf of rank one, and if we define $N = N_{C/X}$ as dual to J/J^2 (contrary to the notations of [2] p. 4.16), then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_*(B), \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq i_*(B^{-1} \underset{\mathcal{O}_C}{\otimes} N)$$

Proof. Let $\{X_\alpha\}$ be an affine cover of X such that C can be given by some equation $f_\alpha \in \Gamma(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for each α. Let $C_\alpha = \operatorname{Supp} C \cap X_\alpha$; $\{C_\alpha\}$ form an open affine cover of C. Let $A_\alpha = \Gamma(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $B_\alpha = \Gamma(C_\alpha, B)$.

Let I_α be the ideal generated by f_α in A_α, and let $b_\alpha \in B_\alpha$ be some generator of B_α as a free A_α/I_α-module of rank 1 for each α.

As an A_α-module, B_α has a resolution

$$0 \to M_{1,\alpha} \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} M_{1,\alpha} \xrightarrow{b_\alpha} B_\alpha \to 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where $M_{1,\alpha} = M_{0,\alpha} = A_\alpha$, which gives an isomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{A_\alpha}(B_\alpha, A_\alpha) \overset{\sim}{\to} A_\alpha/I_\alpha$.

We extend the notation used above to multi-indexes. We denote as res the canonical maps $A_\alpha \to A_{\alpha\beta}$, $B_\alpha \to B_{\alpha\beta}$ and so one, and sometimes omit res when it can not cause confusion.

We have

$$\operatorname{res} f_\beta = \psi_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{res} f_\alpha$$

for some unit $\psi_{\alpha\beta} \in A_{\alpha\beta}$. The family $\{\psi_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a gluing cocycle for the invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{O}_X(-C)$.

Let $A'_\alpha = A_\alpha/I_\alpha$ and $A'_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\alpha\beta}/I_{\alpha\beta}$, where $I_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{res} f_\alpha = A_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{res} f_\beta$.

Since $\operatorname{res} b_\alpha$ and $\operatorname{res} b_\beta$ both generate $B_{\alpha\beta}$ as $A'_{\alpha\beta}$-module, we have

$$\operatorname{res} b_\beta = \phi'_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{res} b_\alpha$$

for some unit $\phi'_{\alpha\beta} \in A'_{\alpha\beta}$. The family $\{\phi'_{\alpha\beta}\}$ gives a gluing cocycle for B as an invertible sheaf on C.

Let $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \in A_{\alpha\beta}$ be some lift of $\phi'_{\alpha\beta}$. For each α, β we have

$$\operatorname{res} b_\beta = \phi_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{res} b_\alpha$$

. Note that $\phi_{\alpha\beta}\phi_{\beta\alpha} - 1 \in I_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\phi_{\gamma\beta}\phi_{\beta\alpha} - \phi_{\gamma\alpha} \in I_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$.

There is an isomorphism of the restrictions of the resolutions 1.1 to $X_{\alpha\beta}$ given by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M_{1,\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "f_\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{\beta\alpha}\psi_{\beta\alpha}"] & M_{1,\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "b_\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{\beta\alpha}"] & B_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M_{1,\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "f_\beta"] & M_{1,\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "b_\beta"] & B_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, A_{\alpha\beta})$ gives

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 & \mathrm{Hom}(M_{1,\alpha\beta}, A_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[l] & \mathrm{Hom}(M_{0,\alpha\beta}, A_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[l, "f_\alpha"'] \\
0 & \mathrm{Hom}(M_{1,\alpha\beta}, A_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "\phi_{\beta\alpha}\psi_{\beta\alpha}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(M_{0,\alpha\beta}, A_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[l, "f_\beta"'] \arrow[u, "\phi_{\beta\alpha}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{res}\mathrm{Ext}^1_{A_\beta}(B_\beta, A_\beta) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{\alpha\beta}/I_{\alpha\beta} \overset{[\phi_{\beta\alpha}\psi_{\beta\alpha}]}{\xrightarrow{\sim}} A_{\alpha\beta}/I_{\alpha\beta} \xleftarrow{\sim} \operatorname{res}\mathrm{Ext}^1_{A_\alpha}(B_\alpha, A_\alpha)$$

It follows that $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_*(B), \mathcal{O})$ is a direct image of an invertible sheaf on $\operatorname{Supp} C$ with a trivialization

$$\Gamma(C_\alpha, \mathcal{E}xt^1(B, \mathcal{O})) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_\alpha/I_\alpha$$

and a gluing cocycle

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_{\beta\alpha}\psi_{\beta\alpha}) \mod I_{\alpha\beta} = (\phi_{\beta\alpha}\psi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}) \mod I_{\alpha\beta} = \\ = \phi'_{\beta\alpha} \cdot (\psi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \mod I_{\alpha\beta}) = (\phi'_{\alpha\beta})^{-1} \cdot (\psi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \mod I_{\alpha\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\phi'_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a gluing cocycle for B and $\{\psi_{\alpha\beta} \mod I_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a gluing cocycle for $\mathcal{O}_C(-C)$, the lemma follows.

Lemma 2. Let $j : X \to Y$ be a regular embedding of schemes of codimension r given by an ideal $J \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$, F be a coherent sheaf on Y, $F|_X$ be its restriction to X. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_X, F) \simeq \Lambda^r(J/J^2)^\vee \underset{\mathcal{O}_X}{\otimes} F|_X \tag{1.2}$$

Proof.

Step 1: affine case. Let A be a commutative ring, and X be a closed subscheme in $\operatorname{Spec} A$ given by the regular sequence $s = (s_1, ..., s_r)$. Let J be the ideal generated by s. We consider s as a section of a free module $E = A^r$. If e_i is the canonical basis of E, we have $s = \sum s_i e_i$.

There is a Koszul resolution K.

$$0 \to \Lambda^r E^\vee \xrightarrow{i_s} \cdots \to E^\vee \xrightarrow{i_s} A \to A/J \to 0,$$

where i_s is pairing with s. In particular, the map $\Lambda^r E^\vee \to \Lambda^{r-1}E^\vee$ takes $e_1^\vee \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r^\vee$ to $\sum_i (-1)^i s_i e_1^\vee \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e_i^\vee} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r^\vee$

For an A-module F let $K^\cdot(F) = \mathrm{Hom}(K_\cdot, F)$ be the cohomological Koszul complex

$$\Lambda^0 E \otimes F \xrightarrow{\wedge s} \dots \Lambda^{r-1}E \otimes F \xrightarrow{\wedge s} \Lambda^r E \otimes F \to 0$$

If $\{u_i\}$ is a standard basis of A-module A^{r-1}, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^{r-1}E \otimes F \arrow[r, "\wedge s"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \Lambda^r E \otimes F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & 0 \\
A^{r-1} \otimes F \arrow[r, "\wedge s"] & F \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

of the rightmost part of the complex above to the complex $A^{r-1} \otimes F \overset{\wedge s}{\to} F \to 0$ where $\wedge s$ takes $u_i \otimes f$ to $(-1)^i s_i f$. It gives an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^r_A(A/J, F) \simeq F \underset{A}{\otimes} (A/J)$$

Step 2: general case. Let Y be a scheme, X be a regularly embedded subscheme of Y, and F be a coherent sheaf on Y. Let U_α be an open affine cover of Y such that X can be given by some regular sequence $s^\alpha = (s^\alpha_1, \ldots, s^\alpha_r)$ on each U_α. Let V_α be the induced open cover of X. Let J_α be the ideal generated by s_α in A_α, and let $F_\alpha = \Gamma(U_\alpha, F)$. Let $E_\alpha = A^r_\alpha$.

The complex L^α :

$$\Lambda^{r-1}E_\alpha \otimes F_\alpha \overset{(\wedge s) \otimes \mathrm{id}}{\to} \Lambda^r E_\alpha \otimes F_\alpha \to 0$$

computes $\mathrm{Ext}^r_{A_\alpha}(A_\alpha/J_\alpha, F_\alpha)$.

Let $A_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma(U_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. Since $J_\alpha A_{\alpha\beta} = J_\beta A_{\alpha\beta}$, so there is an invertible matrix $f^{\alpha\beta}$ with elements in $A_{\alpha\beta}$ such that

$$s^\alpha_i = \sum f^{\alpha\beta}_{ij} s^\beta_j$$

Let u be an automorphism of $E_{\alpha\beta}$ given by the matrix $((f^{\alpha\beta})^t)^{-1}$. It has a property

$$u(s^\alpha) = s^\beta$$

and whence induces an isomorphism of complexes $L^\alpha \otimes A_{\alpha\beta} \to L^\beta \otimes A_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^{r-1}E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "d_{s^\alpha}"] \arrow[d, "\wedge^{r-1}u \otimes \mathrm{id}"] & \Lambda^r E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wedge^r u \otimes \mathrm{id}"] & 0 \\
\Lambda^{r-1}E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "d_{s^\beta}"] & \Lambda^r E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $A_{\alpha\beta}$ is a flat A_α-module and since for a complex L of A - modules and a flat A-module M we have $H^i(L) \otimes M \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(L \otimes M)$, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^r_{A_\alpha}(A_\alpha/J_\alpha, F_\alpha) \otimes A_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{\sim} H(L^\alpha) \otimes A_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{\sim} H(L^\alpha \otimes A_{\alpha\beta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}^r_{A_{\alpha\beta}}(A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta}, F_{\alpha\beta})$$

which gives a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ext}^r_{A_\alpha}(A_\alpha/J_\alpha, F_\alpha)\otimes A_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (\Lambda^r E_{\alpha\beta}\otimes F_{\alpha\beta})/d_{s^\alpha}(\Lambda^{r-1}E_{\alpha\beta}\otimes F_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[dd, "\overline{\wedge^r u\otimes \mathrm{id}}"] & (A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta})\otimes F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[dd, "\overline{\det u}\otimes \mathrm{id}"] \\
\mathrm{Ext}^r_{A_{\alpha\beta}}(A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta}, F_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[u, "\wr"] \arrow[d, "\wr"'] & & \\
\mathrm{Ext}^r_{A_\beta}(A_\beta/J_\beta, F^\beta)\otimes A_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \Lambda^r E_{\alpha\beta}\otimes F_{\alpha\beta}/d_{s^\beta}(\Lambda^{r-1}E_{\alpha\beta}\otimes F_{\alpha\beta}) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta})\otimes F_{\alpha\beta}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Step 1 gives an isomorphism

$$i_\alpha : \Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(j_*(\mathcal{O}_X), F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}^r_{A_\alpha}(A_\alpha/J_\alpha, F_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A_\alpha/J_\alpha) \underset{A_\alpha}{\otimes} F_\alpha$$

Let $\phi^{\alpha\beta} = (\det u)^{-1} \mod J_{\alpha\beta} = \det f^{\alpha\beta} \mod J_{\alpha\beta}$. The diagram above gives the following gluing data for the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(f_*(\mathcal{O}_X), F)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \Gamma(U_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(f_*(\mathcal{O}_X), F)) \arrow[dl, "i_\alpha"'] \arrow[dr, "i_\beta"] & \\
(A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta})\otimes F_{\alpha\beta} & & (A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta})\otimes F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[ll, "\phi^{\alpha\beta}\otimes \mathrm{id}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the $\mathcal{O}_X$-module J/J^2. It is a locally free sheaf which can be trivialized by $(s_1^\alpha \mod J_\alpha, \dots, s_r^\alpha \mod J_\alpha)$ on each V_α. Consider the invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\Lambda^r(J/J^2)$. It has a trivialization

$$f_a : \Gamma(V_\alpha, \Lambda^r(J/J^2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_\alpha/J_\alpha,$$
$$(s_1^\alpha \mod J_\alpha)\wedge\cdots\wedge(s_r^\alpha \mod J_\alpha) \mapsto 1 \mod J_\alpha$$

Since $s_i^\alpha = \sum f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} s_j^\beta$, we have $s_1^\alpha \wedge\cdots\wedge s_r^\alpha = (\det f^{\alpha\beta})\cdot s_1^\beta\wedge\cdots\wedge s_r^\beta$ in the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module $\Lambda^r J$. Note that for any A-module M and ideal J there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\Lambda^i_{A/J}(M\underset{A}{\otimes} A/J) \simeq (\Lambda^i_A M)\underset{A}{\otimes} A/J,$$
$$[m_1]\wedge\cdots\wedge[m_i] \mapsto [m_1\wedge\cdots\wedge m_i],$$

which implies $\Lambda^r_{A/J}(J/J^2) \simeq (\Lambda^r_A J)\otimes A/J$. It follows that J/J^2 as an invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module with the trivialization described above has a gluing data $(\det f^{\alpha\beta})^{-1} \mod J_{\alpha\beta} = (\phi_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \Gamma(V_{\alpha\beta}, \Lambda^r_{\mathcal{O}_X}(J/J^2)) \arrow[dl, "f_\alpha"'] \arrow[dr, "f_\beta"] & \\
A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta} & & A_{\alpha\beta}/J_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[ll, "(\phi^{\alpha\beta})^{-1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^r_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_X, F) \simeq (\Lambda^r_{\mathcal{O}_X} J/J^2)^\vee \underset{\mathcal{O}_X}{\otimes} (F \underset{\mathcal{O}_Y}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X)$$

1.2 Acceptable sheaves on surfaces

Definition. Let X be an algebraic surface. We say that a coherent sheaf E is *acceptable* if

$\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$ and E is locally free;
$\operatorname{rk} E = 1$ and E is torsion free;
$\operatorname{rk} E = 0$ and E is a direct image of an invertible sheaf on a Cartier divisor on X.

1.3 One corollary of the Hilbert-Burch theorem

Lemma. Let R be a CM ring of dimension two and let $\phi : R^m \to R^{m+1}$ be a monomorphism of free modules. If $\phi \otimes k(p)$ is monomorphic outside a codimension two subscheme in $\operatorname{Spec} R$, then $\operatorname{coker} \phi$ is isomorphic to an ideal $J \subset R$ of depth 2.

1.4 Poincare polynomials

Let X be a scheme and E be a coherent sheaf on X. Let $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be an ample invertible sheaf on X. The Poincare polynomial of E is

$$P(E) = \chi(E(m)) = \chi(E) + \chi(E|_H)m + \chi(E|_{H^2})\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \dots \\ + \chi(E|_{H^d})\frac{m(m+1)\dots(m+d-1)}{d!},$$

where $H \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$ is a generic divisor in the linear system $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, H^i is the intersection of i generic divisors in the linear system $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, and d is the dimension of support of E ([9]).

In particular, if $\dim X = 2$,

$$P(E) = \chi(E|_{H^2})\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \chi(E|_H)m + \chi(E) = \\ r(H,H)\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + (r(1-g) + (c_1(E), H))\, m + \chi(E)$$

where $r = \operatorname{rk} E$ and g is genus of the curve H.

If $r > 0$, then the reduced Poincare polynomial is defined as

$$p(E) = P(E)/r = (H,H)\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \left((1-g) + \frac{(c_1(E), H)}{r}\right) m + \frac{\chi(E)}{r}$$

1.5 Vanishing of $H^2(X,E)$ for stable E with positive c_1

Lemma. Let $(X,\mathcal{O}_X(1))$ be a polarized K3 surface and E be a stable coherent sheaf on X of rank $r>0$ such that $(c_1(E),H)>0$. Then $H^2(X,E)=0$.

Proof. By duality, $H^2(X,E)\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^0(E,\Omega^2_X)^\vee=\mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathcal{O}_X)^\vee$.

Assume that $\mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathcal{O}_X)\neq 0$, i.e., that there is a nonzero homomorphism $\phi: E\to\mathcal{O}_X$. Let $J=\phi(E)$; J is a sheaf of ideals of some closed subscheme $Z\subset X$. The map ϕ induces an epimorphism

$$E\to J\to 0$$

and therefore the stability of E should imply $p(E)<p(J)$, i.e.,

$$\frac{(c_1(E),H)}{r}m+\frac{\chi(E)}{r}<c_1(J|_H)m+\chi(J)$$

One can see from the exact sequence

$$0\to J\otimes\mathcal{O}_H\to\mathcal{O}_H\to\mathcal{O}_{Z\cap H}\to 0$$

that $c_1(J|_H)=-c_1(\mathcal{O}_{Z\cap H})<0$, while $(c_1(E),H)>0$, which gives a contradiction.

1.6 Extensions of modules over a commutative ring.

This is a classical construction, (cf., for example, [5]), but we have to fix the notations. Let M, N be modules over a commutative ring A, let $e\in Ext^1_A(M,N)$. Then the Yoneda-corresponding extension $0\to N\to E\to M\to 0$ can be obtained in the following way. If

$$\dots M_2\to M_1\to M_0\to M\to 0$$

is a projective resolution of M, then the complex

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M_0,N)\to\mathrm{Hom}_A(M_1,N)\to\mathrm{Hom}_A(M_2,N)$$

computes $Ext^1_A(M,N)$. If $e\in Ext^1_A(M,N)$, $e=[g]$, $g:M_1\to N$, $g(dM_2)=0$, and E is a cokernel of the map

$$M_1\overset{(d,g)}{\to}M_0\oplus N\to E\to 0,$$

then

$$0\to N\overset{(0,id)}{\to}E\overset{(can,0)}{\to}M\to 0$$

is the extension class corresponding to e under the Yoneda isomorphism.

Since $(d,g)(M_1)$ and $0\oplus N$ have a zero intersection in $M_0\oplus N$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & M_1 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "(d,g)"] & M_1 \arrow[d, "d"] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & M_0\oplus N \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.3}$$

If $g_1, g_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(M_1/dM_2, N)$ and $g_1 - g_2 = \tilde{g} \cdot d$, where $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{Hom}(M_0, N)$, then the isomorphism $\phi : M_0 \oplus N \to M_0 \oplus N$, $\phi = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\tilde{g} & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ descends to the equivalence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & (M_0 \oplus N)/\operatorname{Im}(d, g_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"', "\wr"] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & (M_0 \oplus N)/\operatorname{Im}(d, g_2) \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

of the corresponding extensions.

1.7 Computation of $\mathcal{E}xt^i(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X)$

Lemma. Let X be a nonsingular algebraic surface and ξ be a 0-subscheme in X, then

(i) $\mathcal{H}om(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X) = L^{-1}$,

(ii) $\mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X)$,

(iii) $\mathcal{E}xt^2(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Proof. It is enough to consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to J_\xi(L) \to L \to \mathcal{O}_\xi(L) \to 0$$

1.8 Computation of $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X))$

Lemma Let X be a nonsingular surface and ξ be a simple 0-cycle on X. Then

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_\xi(K_X L))^\vee$$

Indeed, if ξ is regularly embedded, then $\mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \Lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}_\xi}(J_\xi/{J_\xi}^2)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\xi} (L^{-1}|_\xi)$, where we used the duality lemma. The rest is straightforward.

1.9 Spectral sequence for $\operatorname{Ext}^1(F, G)$

Let F, G be a coherent sheaves on a proper separable scheme X. The spectral sequence $Ext^n(F, G) \Leftarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{E}xt^q(F, G))$ gives the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(F, G)) \xrightarrow{t} \operatorname{Ext}^1(F, G) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(F, G)) \to \\ \to H^2(X, \mathcal{H}om(F, G)) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2(F, G) \end{aligned}$$

We need an explicit description of the map t. Let U_α be an open affine cover of X. Since X is separable, all the pairwise intersections $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ are affine. Let $a \in H^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(F, G))$ and let $h_{\alpha\beta} \in Z^1_C(X, \mathcal{H}om(F, G))$ be some Chech cochain which represents a. Let $A_\alpha = \Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X)$, $F_\alpha = \Gamma(U_\alpha, F)$, and $G_\alpha = \Gamma(U_\alpha, G)$. Let $E_\alpha := F_\alpha \oplus G_\alpha$.

Let $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, $F_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma(U_{\alpha\beta}, F)$, etc.

Consider the trivial extension of A_α-modules

$$0 \to G_\alpha \to F_\alpha \oplus G_\alpha \to F_\alpha \to 0$$

and the automorphism $i_{\alpha\beta}$ of the $A_{\alpha\beta}$-module $E_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta} + G_{\alpha\beta}$ given by

$$i_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h_{\alpha\beta} & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & G_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i_{\alpha\beta}"', "\wr"] & F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] & E_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] & F_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

This gives a family of isomorphisms $i'_{\alpha\beta} : E_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \to E_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$, and the identity $h_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\beta\gamma} = h_{\alpha\gamma}$ implies $i'_{\beta\gamma} \cdot i'_{\alpha\beta} = i'_{\alpha\gamma}$.

This allows one to glue the family $\{E_\alpha\}$ into a coherent sheaf sheaf E on X which fits into a locally trivial extension

$$0 \to G \to E \to F \to 0$$

1.10 Spectral sequence for $\operatorname{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O})$ for acceptable sheaf on a surface.

Let X be an algebraic surface, and let F be an acceptable sheaf on X. Then 1.9 gives

1.10.1 Case $\operatorname{rk} F = 0$

if $\operatorname{rk} F = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ and

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(F, \mathcal{O}))$$

1.10.2 Case $\operatorname{rk} F = 1$

Let $F = J_\xi(L)$, where ξ is a 0-subscheme on X. Then 1.9 and 1.7 give the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^1(X, L^{-1}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O})) \to \\ \to H^2(X, L^{-1}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}) \to 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

which is exact in the right term due to the vanishing of $E_2^{0,2}$ and $E_2^{1,1}$ in the spectral sequence.

Assume now that X is smooth, and let $K = \Omega_X^2$. The exact sequence

$$0 \to J_\xi(KL) \to KL \to \mathcal{O}_\xi(KL) \to 0$$

generates the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^0(X, J_\xi(KL)) \to H^0(X, KL) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_\xi(KL)) \to \\ \to H^1(X, J_\xi(KL)) \to H^1(X, KL) \to 0 \quad (1.5) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma. If X is smooth and ξ is a simple 0-cycle, then 1.4 and 1.5 are dual, i.e., there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(X, L^{-1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & H^2(X, L^{-1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \mathrm{Ext}^2(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(X, KL)^\vee \arrow[r] & H^1(X, J_\xi(KL))^\vee \arrow[r] & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_\xi(KL))^\vee \arrow[r] & H^0(X, KL)^\vee \arrow[r] & H^0(X, J_\xi(KL))^\vee \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

(1.6)

where vertical isomorphism in the middle was constructed in 1.8 and the other ones are Serre duality isomorphisms.

If L is ample, then the above exact sequence and Kodaira vanishing theorem give

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O}) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O})) \to H^2(X, L^{-1}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2(J_\xi(L), \mathcal{O})$$

1.10.3 Case $\operatorname{rk} F \geq 2$

If F is locally free, then $\mathcal{E}xt^1(F, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ and 1.9 gives an isomorphism

$$t : H^1(X, \mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O})$$

1.11 Locally free extensions $0 \to \mathcal{O} \to E \to J_\xi(L) \to 0$

1.11.1 Local case

Lemma. Let A be a regular local ring of dimension two, m be its maximal ideal, and let

$$0 \to A \to E \to m \to 0 \qquad (*)$$

be some extension of A-modules with class $e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_A\, m, A$. Then E is a free module iff $e \neq 0$.

This is a classical result, for another proof see, f.e., [5], p.5.4.

Proof. If $e = 0$, then E is not free, so assume $e \neq 0$. Let p be m considered as a point in $\operatorname{Spec} A$. If $\{x, y\}$ is a regular sequence generating m, then m has a Koszul resolution

$$0 \to M_1 \to M_0 \to m \to 0$$

where $M_1 = A$ and $M_o = A^2$ and the exact triple (*) has a resolution

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & E_1 \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "v"] & M_1 \arrow[d, "w"] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & m \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.7}$$

where $E_0 = E \underset{m}{\oplus} M_0 = \ker(E \oplus M_0 \to m)$ is a free module of rank three.

The middle column of 1.7 shows that E is free iff $v(p) \overset{def}{=} v \otimes k(p) \neq 0$.

Now 1.7 generates

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 & 0 & \\
 & 0 & \mathrm{Hom}(E_1, A) \arrow[l] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{Hom}(M_1, A) \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[l] \\
0 & \mathrm{Hom}(A, A) \arrow[l] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, A) \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "v^*"] & \mathrm{Hom}(M_0, A) \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "w^*"] & 0 \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathrm{id}_A \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, A)$ be the identity homomorphism and let us choose some lift $\tilde{\mathrm{id}}_A \in \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, A)$ of id_A to $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, A)$. Then, by definition,

$$e = a^*(v^*(\tilde{\mathrm{id}}_A)) \mod \mathrm{Im}\, w^*$$

Let $\phi = v^*(\tilde{\mathrm{id}}_A)$. There is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(M_1, A) \simeq A$ which takes $\mathrm{Im}\, w^*$ to m, so $e = a^*(\phi) \mod m$.

But $\tilde{\mathrm{id}}_A$ is the left splitting of the exact sequence in the middle row of 1.7, as in the

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & E_1 \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "v"] \arrow[dl, dotted, "\phi"'] & M_1 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, shift right, "i"'] & E_0 \arrow[l, dotted, shift right, "\tilde{\mathrm{id}}"'] \arrow[r] & M_0 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $v = i \circ \phi$ $v(p) = i(p) \circ \phi(p)$. But $i(p) \neq 0$ and $e \neq 0$ implies $\phi(p) \neq 0$, which implies $v(p) \neq 0$.

1.11.2 Global case

We will call an extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O} \to E \to J_\xi(L) \to 0$$

locally free iff E is locally free.

Lemma. Let X be a smooth surface, L be an ample line bundle on X, and ξ be a simple effective 0-subscheme in X. Then

(a) $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O}) = h^1(J_\xi(LK)) = \delta(\xi, LK)$,

(b) Let $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O}) > 0$ and $p \in \xi$. Then either

every curve in the linear series $|L+K|$ passing through $\xi - p$ also contains p, and the set of extensions parametrized by $\mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})$ and locally free at p is a complement to a hyperplane in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})$,

or

there is a curve in the linear system $|L+K|$ containing $\xi - p$ but not p, and all extensions classes in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})$ are not locally free at p.

Proof.

(a) follows from the definition of $\delta(\xi, L+K)$, and duality. To prove (b), we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] \arrow[d, "\wr"', no head] & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"', no head] & H^2(X, \mathcal{H}om(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})) \arrow[d, "\wr"', no head] \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^1(X, J_\xi(KL))^\vee \arrow[r] & H^0(\xi, (KL)|_\xi)^\vee \arrow[r] & H^0(X, KL)^\vee
\end{tikzcd}$$

from 1.10. Let $W = H^0(\xi - p, (KL)|_{\xi-p})^\vee$ and $W' = H^0(p, KL|_p)^\vee$. We have $H^0(\xi, KL|_\xi)^\vee = W \oplus W'$. The condition 1.11.1 implies that the extension class $e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})$ is not locally free at p iff $e \in W \subset W \oplus W'$. Let $K = H^1(X, J_\xi(KL))^\vee$. Since W is of codimension one in $W \oplus W'$, then either $K \subset W$ and all the extension classes parametrized by $\mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})$ are not locally free, or that the set of non-locally free extensions form a hyperplane in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),\mathcal{O})$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K \cap W \arrow[r] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & W \arrow[r] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & H^0(X, KL)^\vee \arrow[d, equal] \\
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & W \oplus W' \arrow[r] & H^0(X, KL)^\vee
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the dual diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 & 0 & \\
0 & (K \cap W)^\vee \arrow[l] \arrow[u] & H^0(\xi - p, KL) \arrow[l] \arrow[u] & H^0(X, KL) \arrow[l] \\
0 & K^\vee \arrow[l] \arrow[u] & H^0(\xi - p, KL) \oplus H^0(p, KL) \arrow[l] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] & H^0(X, KL) \arrow[l, "\mathrm{ev}_\xi"'] \arrow[u, equal]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathrm{ev}_\xi : H^0(X, KL) \to H^0(\xi, KL|_\xi)$ is the canonical restriction map ("evaluation"). Then $K \subset W$ iff $H^0(p, KL) \subset \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{ev}_\xi$, i.e., if there is a curve in the linear system $|K+L|$ passing through $\xi - p$ but not through p.

1.12 $\mathrm{End}_A(J) = 0$

Lemma. If A is a ring and J is an ideal such that $\mathrm{depth}_J(A) \geq 2$, then $\mathrm{End}_A(J) = A$.

Indeed, the exact sequence

$$0 \to J \to A \to A/J \to 0$$

implies

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(A,A) \to \mathrm{Hom}(J,A) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(A/J,A) = 0$$

which implies that a natural map $A \to \mathrm{Hom}(J,A)$ is an isomorphism. Now the inclusion of J into A induced a monomorphism

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(J,J) \to \mathrm{Hom}(J,A) \overset{\sim}{\to} A$$

, which is clearly epimorphic since every element $a \in A$ induced an endomorphism of J.

1.13 Torsion free extensions $0 \to \mathcal{O} \to E \to i_*B \to 0$

1.13.1 Affine case

1. Let A be a commutative ring and f be an element of A which is not a zero divisor. Then the A- module A/f has a free resolution

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & A \arrow[r] & A/f \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

which gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^1(A/f,A) \simeq A/f$.

Let $e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(A/f,A)$ be a class of the extension

$$0 \to A \to E \to A/f \to 0 \qquad (1.8)$$

Let $e = [g]$, as in 1.6, where $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(A,A) \simeq A$. Then 1.6 gives that E can be realized as a cokernel of the map

$$0 \to A \overset{(f,g)}{\to} A^2 \to E \to 0 \qquad (1.9)$$

Let J be the ideal generated by (f,g) in A. The cohomological Koszul complex $K^{\cdot}(f,g)$ gives a complex $R(f,g)$

$$0 \to A \overset{(f,g)}{\to} A^2 \overset{(-g,f)}{\to} J \to 0$$

The identity homomorphism $\mathrm{id} : A^2 \to A^2$ descends to the epimorphic map $w : E \to J$, as in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & & \ker w \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "{(f,g)}"] \arrow[d, equal] & A^2 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{can}"] \arrow[d, equal] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "w"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "{(f,g)}"] & A^2 \arrow[r, "{(-g,f)}"] & J \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(All the columns and rows of the diagram above are exact except, perhaps, the bottom row.)

The following lemma can be proved directly:

Lemma:

(1) There is a canonical isomorphism $H^1(K) \overset{\sim}{\to} \ker w$.

(2) If A is integer, then there is a canonical isomorphism $H^1(R) \overset{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{Tors} E$.

Proof of (2): If $(a,b) \in \ker(f,g)$, then $fa+gb=0$ and $f\cdot[(a,b)] = [(fa,fb)] = [(-gb,fb)] = b\cdot[(-g,f)] = 0$ in E, which gives a map $\ker(f,g) \to \operatorname{Tors} E$ and an injective map $H^1(R) \to \operatorname{Tors} E$. To prove that it is epimorphic, assume that $[(a,b)] \in \operatorname{Tors} E$. Then $\alpha \cdot [(a,b)] = 0$ for some nonzero $\alpha \in A$, i.e., $\alpha a = -g\beta$ and $\alpha b = f\beta$ for some $\beta \in A$, which implies $\alpha(fa+gb)=0$. If A has no divisors of zero, this implies $fa+gb=0$.

2. Assume that $H^1(R)=0$. Then the isomorphism $w : E \to J$ induces the isomorphism of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & A \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "{(f,g)}"] & A \arrow[d, "f"] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "i_2"] \arrow[d, equal] & A^2 \arrow[r, "\pi_1"] \arrow[d] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "i"] & E \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d] & A/f \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

of 1.3 with diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & A \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "{(f,g)}"] & A \arrow[d, "f"] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "i_2"] \arrow[d, equal] & A^2 \arrow[r, "\pi_1"] \arrow[d, "{(-g,f)}"] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & J \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d] & A/f \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\phi : J \to A/f$ takes $-ga_1 + fa_2$ to $a_1 \mod fA$. The map ϕ is correctly defined in the case $H^1(R)=0$, since $-ga_1+fa_2 = -ga_1' + fa_2'$ implies $f(a_2 - a_2') = g(a_1 - a_1')$ and, since $H^1(R)=0$ is equivalent to $H_1(K(f,g))=0$, we have $(a_1 - a_1', a_2 - a_2') = (fb, gb)$ for some $b \in A$, which implies $a_1 \mod fA = a_1' \mod fA$.

3. Corollary. If (f,g) is a regular sequence in A, then w is an isomorphism, and the extension class e in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(A/f, A)$ is equivalent to one of the form

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{f} J \xrightarrow{\phi} A/f \to 0$$

.

Remark. If (f, g) is regular, then there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, equal] & J \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, equal] & A/f \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g", "\wr"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "f"] & J \arrow[r, "\psi"] & g(A/f) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ψ takes $a \in J$ to $a \mod fA$. (Note that $H_1(K(f, g)) = 0$ implies isomorphisms

$$J/fA \xrightarrow{\sim} Ag/Ag \cap Af \xrightarrow{\sim} Ag/Afg \xrightarrow{\sim} g \cdot (A/f)$$

Corollary. If A/f does not have zero divisors, then all the nonzero extension classes in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(A/f, A)$ are torsion-free.

1.13.2 Global case.

If Y is a scheme, L is an invertible sheaf on Y and $s \in H^0(Y, L)$, we would say that s is $\mathcal{O}_Y$-regular if for every affine $U \subset Y$ and every nonzero $f \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O})$ $fs \neq 0$. (In particular, it is always the case if Y is integer and $s \neq 0$.

Let X be a scheme, C be an effective Cartier divisor on X and B be an invertible sheaf on C. Let i be an embedding of $\mathrm{Supp}\, C$ into X. Assume that we are given an extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O} \to E \to i_*(B) \to 0 \tag{1}$$

Let e be its class in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(B, \mathcal{O})$.

By 1.10 and 1.1, there are isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(B, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{E}xt^1_X(B, \mathcal{O})) \simeq H^0(X, i_*(NB^{-1})) \simeq H^0(C, NB^{-1}) \tag{1.10}$$

where $N = N_{C/X}$ is a normal sheaf to C in X. Let $s \in H^0(C, NB^{-1})$ be the element corresponding to e under this isomorphism. Let $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$.

Lemma. If s is $\mathcal{O}_C$ - regular, then there is an equivalence of extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & i_*(B) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "f"] & J_\xi \otimes L \arrow[r, "\phi"] & i_*(B) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.11}$$

where f is a canonical section of L vanishing at C, ϕ is a some map from $J_\xi(L)$ to $i_*(B)$, and ξ is a the set of zeroes of s on C.

(1)

Proof.

Let us choose an affine cover U_α of X, as in the proof of 1.1. The restriction of the extension 1 gives an extension $0 \to A_\alpha \to E_\alpha \to B_\alpha \to 0$ with some class $e_\alpha \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{A_\alpha}(B_\alpha, A_\alpha)$ for each α. Each A_α-module B_α has a resolution 1.1, and we can lift the extension class e_α to a homomorphism $g_\alpha : M_{1,\alpha} \to A_\alpha$, as in 1.6. Let $s_\alpha \in \Gamma(C_\alpha, NB^{-1})$ be a restriction of s to U_α. Since s_α is the image of e_α under the isomorphism $\mathcal{E}xt^1_X(i_*(B), \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_*(NB^{-1})$ and since s is $\mathcal{O}_X$-regular, (f_α, g_α) is a regular sequence in A_α. Let J_α be the ideal generated by (f_α, g_α) in A_α.

Now 1.13.1 gives an equivalence of extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & A_\alpha \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E_\alpha \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "w_\alpha", "\wr"'] & B_\alpha \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A_\alpha \arrow[r, "f_\alpha"] & J_\alpha \arrow[r, "\phi_\alpha"] & B_\alpha \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us extend all the notations to multiindexes, as in 1.1. Since $e_\beta = \phi_{\alpha\beta}\psi_{\alpha\beta}e_\alpha$ in $A_{\alpha\beta}$, we have $g_\beta = \phi_{\alpha\beta}\psi_{\alpha\beta}g_\alpha + \theta_{\alpha\beta}f_\alpha$ for some $\theta_{\alpha\beta} \in A_{\alpha\beta}$. Since $\psi_{\alpha\beta}$ is invertible in $A_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ is invertible in $A_{\alpha\beta}/(f_\beta)$, we have $J_\alpha \cdot A_{\alpha\beta} = J_\beta \cdot A_{\alpha\beta}$; we denote this ideal as $J_{\alpha\beta}$. Now the restrictions of w_α and w_β to $U_{\alpha\beta}$ give an isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & A_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "f_\beta"] \arrow[d, equal] & J_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "phi_\beta"] \arrow[d, "w_\alpha w_\beta^{-1}", "\wr"'] & B_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "f_\beta"] & J_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r, "\phi_\alpha"] & B_{\alpha\beta} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.12}$$

But by 1.12, the isomorphism $w_\alpha w_\beta^{-1}$ is a multiplication with some constant $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$, and since $f_\beta = \psi_{\alpha\beta}f_\alpha$ we have $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \psi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}$.

Let ξ be a subscheme in X given by (f_α, g_α) in U_α. Since $\psi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}$ is a gluing cocycle for $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$, we get a desired equivalence of extensions 1.11.

It is clear that ξ as a subscheme of C is given as a set of zeroes of s.

The map f can be described in the following way: $J_\xi(L) := J_\xi \otimes L$ can be realized as a subsheaf of L consisting of sections vanishing at ξ, and since the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$ vanishes at ξ, it gives a section of $J_\xi(L)$.

Remark. Consider ξ as a Cartier divisor on C. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to J_{C,X} \to J_{\xi,X} \to i_*J_{\xi,C} \to 0$$

which is isomorphic to

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-C) \to J_{\xi,X} \to i_*\mathcal{O}_C(-\xi) \to 0$$

Twisting it with $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{f} J_\xi(L) \to i_*(N \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-\xi)) \to 0 \tag{1.13}$$

where f is the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$ vanishing at C. It follows that there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, equal] & J_\xi(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & i_*B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "f"] & J_\xi(L) \arrow[r] & i_*(N \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-\xi)) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

1.14 Locally free extensions $0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to i_*B \to 0$

1.14.1 Affine case

Let R be a commutative ring and $f \in R$ be a non-zero divisor. The R - module R/f has a resolution

$$0 \to R \xrightarrow{f} R \to R/f \to 0$$

and therefore $\mathrm{Ext}^1(R/f, W \underset{k}{\otimes} R)$ is a cohomology of the complex

$$\mathrm{Hom}(R, W \otimes R) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Hom}(R, W \otimes R) \to 0$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(R/f, W \otimes R) \simeq W \otimes_k (R/f)$.

Let $e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(R/f, R)$ be the class of an extension

$$0 \to R \to E \to R/f \to 0 \tag{1.14}$$

and let us choose some lift $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(R, W \otimes R)$ of e. Then 1.6 gives that E can be realized as a cokernel of the map

$$0 \to R \overset{(f,g)}{\to} R \oplus (W \underset{k}{\otimes} R) \to E \to 0 \tag{1.15}$$

The exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1(E, k(p)) \to k(p) \overset{(f(p),g(p))}{\to} k(p) \oplus (W \otimes k(p))$$

associated with a point $p \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ demonstrates that E is locally free iff f and g do not have common zeroes in $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

1.14.2 Global case.

Let X be a scheme, C be an effective Cartier divisor on X (we also denote by C the support of C), let i be the canonical embedding of C into X, and let B be an invertible sheaf on C. Let e be the class of an extension

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to i_*(B) \to 0 \tag{1.16}$$

where $\dim W \geq 2$.

By 1.10 and 1.1 there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(i_*(B), W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq W\otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(i_*(B),\mathcal{O}_X)\simeq\\ \simeq W\otimes H^0(X,\mathcal{E}xt^1_X(i_*(B),\mathcal{O}_X))\simeq W\otimes H^0(C,A)\simeq H^0(C,W\underset{k}{\otimes}A)\end{aligned}$$

where $A = NB^{-1}$ and $N = N_{C/X} = \mathcal{O}_X(C)|_C$ is the normal sheaf to C in X.

If $s\in H^0(C,W\otimes A)$ is the image of e under this isomorphism, then 1.14.1 gives that E is locally free iff s does not vanish on C.

Let ϕ_e be the image of s under the isomorphism

$$H^0(C,W\otimes A)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Hom}(W^\vee, H^0(C,A))$$

Let $l=\mathrm{rk}\,\phi$. One can prove that s can be written as $s=\sum_1^l w_i\otimes s_i$ for linearly independent $w_1,\dots,w_l\in W$ and linearly independent $s_1,\dots,s_l\in H^0(C,A)$. We have $Z(s)=Z(s_1)\cap\ldots\cap Z(s_l)$.

Note that even if $l\geq 2$ and $s_1,\dots,s_l$ are linearly independent it is possible that $Z(s_1)\cap\ldots\cap Z(s_l)$ is not empty. But if A is globally generated, then the lemma (3.2.0.4) implies that this does not happen:

Proposition 1 If $\dim X=2$, C is smooth and $A=NB^{-1}$ is globally generated, then the generic section of $W\otimes_k A$ does not vanish on C, and the corresponding extension is locally free.

We will also be particularly interested in the case $\dim W=\dim H^0(C,A)$. We will show late that if ϕ_e is not injective, the extension e can not be locally free. Assume now that ϕ_E is injective, i.e., is an isomorphism. This defines a unique extension class e_{can}. This extension is locally free iff $\underset{s\in H^0(C,A)}{\cap} Z(s)=\emptyset$, i.e., iff A is globally generated.

1.15 Criteria for a generic extension to be acceptable.

Lemma. Let F be an acceptable sheaf on a surface X. We will be considering classes $e\in\mathrm{Ext}^1(F,W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X)$ parametrizing extensions

$$0\to W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X\to E\to F\to 0 \tag{1.17}$$

Statement:

(i) If F is a locally free, then all such extensions are locally free;

(ii) If $F=J_\xi(L)$, where L is an ample line bundle and ξ is a simple 0-cycle on X, and pair $(\xi, L+K)$ is *Caley-Bacharash*, then there exist a finite collection of hyperplanes $Z\subset\mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L),W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X)$ such that for every extension class not in Z the sheaf E is locally free;

(iii) If $F=(i_C)_*(B)$, where $i:\mathrm{Supp}\,C\to X$ is an (effective) Cartier on X and B is an invertible sheaf on $\mathrm{Supp}\,C$, then

(a) If $\dim W=1$ and $\mathrm{Supp}\,C$ is reduced and irreducible, then E is acceptable;

(b) If $\dim W \geq 2$, $\operatorname{Supp} C$ is smooth and $A = N_{C/X}B^{-1}$ is globally generated, then E given by generic $e \in \operatorname{Ext}^1_X(i_*B, W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ is acceptable.

Proof.

(i) The exact sequence (1.17) implies $\operatorname{Tor}_1(E, k(p)) = 0$ for every $p \in X$, which implies **(i)**.

(ii) Let $k = \dim W$. In the case $k = 1$ this statement was proved in 1.11.2. If $k > 1$, we proceed by induction.

Let us choose a $k-1$-dimensional subspace $W_{k-1} \subset W$ and let $W^1 = W/W_{k-1}$. Assume that we are given an extension

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to J_\xi(L) \to 0$$

with class $e \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ The projection $W \to W^1$ induces the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & J_\xi(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & W^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & J_\xi(L) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $E' := E/(W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ The exact sequence

$$0 \to W_{k-1} \to W \xrightarrow{p} W^1 \to 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{p^1} \operatorname{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), W^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \to 0 \tag{1.18}$$

which is exact on the left and on the right since the exact sequence

$$0 \to W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to W^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to 0$$

has a left splitting. Note that $p^1(e) = e'$, where $e' \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), W^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the class of the bottom row of the diagram above. The 1.11.2 implies that E' is locally free when e' is outside of a finite collection of hyperplanes in $\operatorname{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), W^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$. Since local freeness of E' implies a local freeness of

E, the exact sequence (1.18) guarantees that E is locally free for all e outside some finite collection of hyperplanes in the vector space $\mathrm{Ext}^1(J_\xi(L), W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$.

(iii) It was proved in 1.13.2 in the case $\dim W = 1$ and in 1.14.2 in the case $\dim W > 1$.

1.16 Stability of extensions and factors

1.16.0.1 Lemma. Let X be an (integer) surface, H be a polarization on X, and let E' be an H-stable coherent sheaf on X, $\mathrm{rk}\, E' \geq 1$. Assume that we are given a nontrivial extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\pi} E' \to 0 \tag{1.19}$$

and assume further that $\mathrm{Pic}\, S \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, h is a positive generator of $\mathrm{Pic}\, S$.

Then E is H-stable.

Proof. The map $\pi : E \to E'$ induces a map $\mathrm{Tors}\, E \to \mathrm{Tors}\, E'$ which is inclusion since X is integer. Since E' is stable of rank ≥ 1, it is torsion free, and therefore E is torsion free. Let $r = \mathrm{rk}\, E$ and $k = \mathrm{rk}\, F$.

Assume that E is not stable; let $F \subset E$ be a destabilizing subsheaf. The intersection $\mathcal{O}_X \cap F$ is a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ and thus is either 0, or a sheaf of ideals of a proper subscheme $Z \subset X$.

Consider first the case $\mathcal{O}_X \cap F = 0$. In this case $F' = \pi(F)$ is a subsheaf of E' isomorphic to F. Since E' is stable, we have

$$\frac{P(F)}{k} \geq \frac{P(E)}{r}, \tag{1.20}$$

$$\frac{P(F')}{k} < \frac{P(E')}{r-1} \tag{1.21}$$

In particular, we have the inequalities of slopes

$$\frac{(c_1(F), H)}{k} \geq \frac{(c_1(E), H)}{r},$$
$$\frac{(c_1(F), H)}{k} \leq \frac{(c_1(E), H)}{r-1}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{f}{k} \leq \frac{1}{r-1}$$

Since $k \in [1, \ldots, r-1]$, this equality can be satisfied only if $k = r-1$ and $f = 1$. (One can draw here a picture in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to visualize the condition.)

Let $Q = E/F$ and $Q' = E'/F'$. We have $\mathrm{rk}\, Q = 1$, $\mathrm{rk}\, Q' = 0$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & F \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & F' \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] & Q \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Q' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.22}$$

Note that $c_1(Q') = c_1(E) - c_1(F) = 0$. By choosing maximal destabilizing subsheaf we may assume that Q is torsion free, which implies that it is of the form J_ξ for some 0-subscheme ξ on X which has no sections, and thus in this case lemma is proved.

Remark. If E' is locally free, then we may assume that F is locally free. In this case F' is a locally free subsheaf of E' and they have the same rank, while the factor-sheaf is supported in codimension two, which is not possible, and we get another proof.

Case 2: consider now the case $\mathcal{O}_X \cap F = J$ for a sheaf of ideals $J \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ of a subscheme $Z \subset X$.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & J_Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & E' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $k = \operatorname{rk} F$. The condition that F destabilizes E and F' does not destabilize E',

$$\frac{P(F)}{k} \geq \frac{P(E)}{r}$$
$$\frac{P(F')}{k-1} < \frac{P(E')}{r-1}$$

is equivalent to the condition

$$P(F) \geq \frac{k}{r} P(E)$$
$$P(F) < P(J_Z) + \frac{k-1}{r-1}(P(E) - P(\mathcal{O}_X))$$

In particular, we should have

$$P(J_Z) + \frac{k-1}{r-1}(P(E) - P(\mathcal{O}_X)) > \frac{P(E)}{r}$$

or

$$r(r-1)P(J) + (k-r)P(E) - r(k-1)P(\mathcal{O}_S) > 0$$

Using the formula

$$\frac{P(F)}{k} = H^2\frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \frac{\chi(F|_H)}{k}m + \frac{\chi(F)}{k}$$

we see that the coefficients at m^2 of the polynomial above is zero, while the condition on the coefficient at m gives an inequality

$$(r-k)(c_1(E), H)_S + r(r-1)\deg_H(Z) \leq 0$$

Since $(c_1(E), H)_S > 0$ and $\deg_H(Z) \geq 0$, we should have $r = k$ and $\deg_H(Z) = 0$.

It follows that the stability condition 1.21 is equivalent to

$$P(F) \geq P(E)$$
$$P(F) < P(J_Z) + P(E) - P(\mathcal{O}_S)$$

which implies $P(E) < P(J_Z) + P(E) - P(\mathcal{O}_S)$, or $P(\mathcal{O}_S) < P(J_Z)$, which can not be satisfied, since

$$P(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) \geq 0$$

1.16.0.2 Lemma. Let X be a surface, H be a polarization on X, and E be an H-stable coherent sheaf on X such that $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$. Assume that we are given an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\pi} E' \to 0 \tag{1.23}$$

where E' is torsion-free, and that $\operatorname{Pic} S \simeq Zh$, and $c_1(E) = h$. Then E' is H-stable.

Proof. Assume that E' is not stable; then it admits a destabilizing quotient sheaf Q. Let $r = \operatorname{rk} E$ and $k = \operatorname{rk} Q$. Since E' is torsion free, $\operatorname{rk}\ker(E' \to Q) \geq 1$, and therefore $k \leq r-2$.

Since E is stable, Q should not destabilize E. This gives a numerical condition

$$\frac{P(Q)}{k} \leq \frac{P(E')}{r-1}$$
$$\frac{P(Q)}{k} > \frac{P(E)}{r}$$

or

$$\frac{\chi(Q_H)}{k}m + \frac{\chi(Q)}{k} \le \frac{\chi(E'_H)}{r-1}m + \frac{\chi(E'_H)}{r-1}$$
$$\frac{\chi(Q_H)}{k}m + \frac{\chi(Q)}{k} > \frac{\chi(E_H)}{r}m + \frac{\chi(E)}{r}$$

Comparing the coefficients at m, we get

$$\frac{(c_1(E),H)}{r} \le \frac{(c_1(Q),H)}{k} \le \frac{(c_1(E),H)}{r-1}$$

Now if $c_1(Q) = qh$ this is equivalent to the inequality

$$\frac{1}{r} \le \frac{q}{k} \le \frac{1}{r-1}$$

which is impossible since $k \le r-2$.

1.16.0.3 Lemma. Let X be a surface, H be a polarization on X, and F be an H-stable acceptable coherent sheaf on X. Assume that we are given an extension

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to F \to 0 \tag{1.24}$$

Let $e \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(F, W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ be the class of this extension and let α_e be the image of e under the isomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}^1(F, W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(W^\vee, \operatorname{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}))$.

Then

(1) If α_e is not monomorphic, then E is not H-stable.

(2) If $\operatorname{rk} F \ge 1$, X is irreducible and integer, α_e is monomorphic, $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}h$, and $c_1(E) = h$, then E is H - stable.

(3) If $\operatorname{rk} F = 0$, $F = i_*(B)$, where $i : C \to X$ is an embedding of the support of an integer Cartier divisor C on X, and B is an invertible sheaf on C, X is irreducible and integer, α_e is monomorphic, and $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}[C]$, then E is H - stable.

Proof. Assume first that $\dim W = 1$. If $\operatorname{rk} F \ge 1$, then the first statement of the lemma is trivial and the second one was proved in 1.16.0.1 If $\operatorname{rk} F = 0$, then the first statement is trivial and the third one follows from 1.13.2.

Assume now that $\dim W > 1$. Let $k = \dim W$. Let us choose a $k-1$ -dimensional vector subspace $W_{k-1} \subset W$ and let $W_1 = W/W_{k-1}$. Then $W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ is a subsheaf of $W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$; let $E' = E/(W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$. The canonical projection map $\pi : W \to W_1 \to 0$ induces the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & W_{k-1}\otimes\mathcal{O} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & W_{k-1}\otimes\mathcal{O} \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & W\otimes\mathcal{O} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & W_1\otimes\mathcal{O} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.25}$$

The map π also induces the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ext}^1(F, W\otimes\mathcal{O}) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, dash, "\wr"] & \mathrm{Ext}^1(F, W_1\otimes\mathcal{O}) \arrow[d, dash, "\wr"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(W^\vee, \mathrm{Ext}^1(F,\mathcal{O})) \arrow[r, "d'"] & \mathrm{Hom}(W_1^\vee, \mathrm{Ext}^1(F,\mathcal{O}))
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is clear that $e' = d(e)$ is the class of the bottom row of the diagram (1.25).

If $\alpha_e : W^\vee \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(F,\mathcal{O})$ is not injective, then we can choose $\pi : W \to W_1 \to 0$ in such a way that $W_1^\vee \subset \ker(\alpha_e : W^\vee \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(F,\mathcal{O}))$. In this case $d'(\alpha_e) = 0$, or, in other words, the bottom row of (1.25) is a trivial extension and E admits $\mathcal{O}_X$ as a factor-sheaf. It follows immediately that E can not be stable, since it admits $\mathcal{O}_X$ as its subsheaf and its factor-sheaf. (Moreover, it is easy to see that under some mild assumptions on F E can not be semi-stable.) This proves (1).

Assume now that α_e is injective. The bottom row of the diagram induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(W_1\otimes\mathcal{O}, W_1\otimes\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Ext}^1(F, W_1\otimes\mathcal{O}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(F', W_1\otimes\mathcal{O})$$

which is injective on the left since $\mathrm{Hom}(W_1\otimes\mathcal{O}, W_1\otimes\mathcal{O}) \simeq H^0(X,\mathcal{O}) = k$ and since δ takes the canonical element of $\mathrm{Hom}(W_1\otimes\mathcal{O}, W_1\otimes\mathcal{O})$ to the nontrivial class e'.

Let $e'' \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', W_{k-1}\otimes\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the class of the middle column of (1.25) and let $\alpha_{e''}$ be the corresponding element in $\mathrm{Hom}(W_{k-1}^\vee, \mathrm{Ext}^1(E',\mathcal{O}_X))$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & W_1^\vee \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^1(F,\mathcal{O}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^1(E',\mathcal{O}) & \\
0 \arrow[r] & W_1^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[u, equal] & W^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha_e"'] & {W_{k-1}}^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha_{e''}"'] & 0 \\
 & & 0 \arrow[u] & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.26}$$

The top row of this diagram is induced by applying $\mathrm{RHom}(\cdot,\mathcal{O})$ to the bottom row of the (1.25) (its injectivity on the left follows from the discussion above). The fact that this diagram commutes can be verified directly. This diagram implies that $\alpha_{e''}$ is injective.

We can now apply induction to the pair (W, W_{k-1}). Indeed, in the case $\mathrm{rk}\, F \geq 1$ the case $k = 1$ considered above implies that E' is stable. We can now apply induction to the extension

$$0 \to W_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0$$

of the middle column of the diagram (1.25).

In the case $\mathrm{rk}\, F = 0$, $F = i_*(B)$ the property that C is integer and the case $k = 1$ considered above imply that E' is stable of rank one (which is in this case equivalent to the fact that E' is torsion-free). Now we can apply the induction step to the middle column extension to deduce that E is stable.

1.16.0.4 Lemma. Let (X, H) be a polarized surface such that $\mathrm{Pic}\, S \simeq \mathbb{Z}[H]$ and E be an H-stable coherent sheaf on X such that $c_1(E) = [H]$. Assume that we are given an exact sequence

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\pi} F \to 0 \tag{1.27}$$

where F is torsion-free. Then F is H-stable.

Proof. Note that the assumption implies $\mathrm{rk}\, E \geq 2$. If $\dim W = 1$, the lemma was proved above. Assume that $\dim W > 1$ and let us choose an 1-dimensional vector subspace $W_1 \subset W$. Let $E' = E/(W_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$. consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
& W_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & W_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (W/W_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_X$ is integer, the bottom row of this diagram gives an embedding $0 \to \operatorname{Tors} E' \to \operatorname{Tors} F$, and since F is torsion free, E' is also torsion-free. The case $\dim W = 1$ considered above applied to the middle column of the diagram above implies that E' is stable, and we can now apply induction to the bottom row of the diagram above.

1.17 Flag $\xi \subset C \subset X$

Let X be a surface, C be an effective Cartier divisor on X, and ξ be an effective Cartier divisor on $\operatorname{Supp} C$. We will also write C for $\operatorname{Supp} C$ and ξ for $\operatorname{Supp} \xi$. Let $i : C \to X$ and $j : \xi \to C$ be the canonical embeddings.

The filtration $J_C \subset J_\xi \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ induces the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & J_\xi / J_C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dash, "\wr"] & \mathcal{O}_X / J_C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \mathcal{O}_X / J_\xi \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dash, "\wr"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & i_* J_{\xi, C} \arrow[r] & i_* \mathcal{O}_C \arrow[r] & i_* j_* \mathcal{O}_\xi \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.28}$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & J_C \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & J_C \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & J_\xi \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*j_*\mathcal{O}_\xi \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & i_*J_{\xi,C} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*\mathcal{O}_C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*j_*\mathcal{O}_\xi \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ and $l = i^*(L)$. Twisting the diagram above with L, we get

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & J_\xi(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (i_*j_*\mathcal{O}_\xi)(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, no head, "\wr"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & i_*l(-\xi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*l \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*((j_*\mathcal{O}_\xi)(l)) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.29}$$

1.18 Globally generated sheaves and the correspondence

Lemma Let X be a scheme with $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0$$

be an exact sequence of coherent sheaves. If E is globally generated, then E' is globally generated. If E' is globally generated and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, then E is globally generated.

The proof is straightforward.

1.19 Special 0-cycles on surfaces

1.19.1 Speciality index of a 0-cycle.

Let ξ be a 0-dimensional subscheme of a surface X of length d.

Definition. The speciality index of ξ with respect to L, $\delta(\xi, L)$, is defined by

$$h^0(X, J_\xi(L)) = h^0(X, L) - d + \delta(\xi, L)$$

It follows that $\delta(\xi, L) \geq 0$ and $\delta(\xi, L) > 0$ iff ξ does not impose d independent conditions on the sections of L.

Definition. ξ is said to be special with respect to L if $\delta(\xi, L) > 0$.

Examples. We assume that the linear system $|L|$ is not empty.

1. If $\deg \xi = 1$, $\xi = [p], p \in X$, then (ξ, L) is special iff p is a base point for $|L|$.

2. If $\deg \xi = 2$, $\xi = [p] + [q]$, and $|L|$ is base-point free, then (ξ, L) is special iff $\phi_L(p) = \phi_L(q)$, where $\phi_L : X \to |L|^\vee$ is the morphism to a projective space associated with $|L|$.

3. Let $\deg \xi = 3$, $\xi = [p] + [q] + [r]$, and let $|L|$ be base-point free. We denote by $< p_1, \ldots, p_n >$ a linear span of a set of distinct points in projective space. Then (ξ, L) is special iff either $\phi_L(p) = \phi_L(q) = \phi_L(r)$, or $\dim < p, q, r >_L = 1$, where $< p, q, r >_L = < \phi_L(p), \phi_L(q), \phi_L(r) >$.

4. If $\deg \xi = d$ and ξ is a sum of d distinct points on X, and $|L|$ is base-point free, then ξ is special iff $\dim < \xi >_L < d - 1$.

Note that in general $\dim < \xi >_L = d - 1 - \delta(\xi, L)$.

Lemma. $\delta(\xi, L) = h^1(J_\xi(L)) - h^1(L)$. In particular, if X is smooth and $L = M \otimes \Omega^2(X)$ for an ample M, then $\delta(\xi, L) = h^1(J_\xi(L))$.

The proof follows from the long exact sequence associated with

$$0 \to J_\xi(L) \to L \to \mathcal{O}_\xi(L) \to 0$$

and the Kodaira vanishing theorem.

1.19.2 Caley-Bacharash 0-cycles

Let ξ be a simple 0-cycle on X, i.e., a sum of d distinct points, on a surface X.

Definition. ξ is said to be Caley-Bacharash (CB) with respect to invertible sheaf L on X if for every point $p \in \xi$ the natural inclusion $0 \to H^0(X, J_\xi(L)) \to H^0(X, J_{\xi - p}(L))$ is an isomorphism.

In other words, the pair (ξ, L) is Caley-Bacharash if for every point $p \in \xi$ and every curve C (if any) in the linear system $|L|$ on X containing $\xi - p$ the point p is also on C.

Lemma. A Caley-Bacharash pair (ξ, L) is special.

Proof. Since (ξ, L) is Caley-Bacharash, the exact sequence

$$0 \to J_\xi(L) \to J_{\xi - p}(L) \to L \otimes k(p) \to 0$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$0 \to L \otimes k(p) \to H^1(X, J_\xi(L)) \to H^1(X, J_{\xi - p}(L)) \to 0$$

which implies $h^1(X, J_\xi(L)) = h^1(X, J_{\xi - p}(L)) + 1$ and therefore $\delta(\xi, L) = \delta(\xi - p, L) + 1 \geq 1$.

Examples. Assume that the linear system $|L|$ is not empty.

1. If $\xi = [p], p \in X$, then (ξ, L) is Caley-Bacharash iff p is a base point for $|L|$.

2. If $\xi = [p] + [q]$ and $|L|$ is basepoint-free, then (ξ, L) is Caley-Bacharash iff $\phi_L(p) = \phi_L(q)$.

3. If $\deg \xi = 3$, $\xi = [p] + [q] + [r]$, and $|L|$ is basepoint- free, then (ξ, L) is Caley-Bacharash iff either $\phi_L(p) = \phi_L(q) = \phi_L(r)$, or $\phi_L(p)$, $\phi_L(q)$ and $\phi_L(r)$ are *all distinct* and $\dim < p, q, r >_L = 1$. Note that there are special degree 3 0-cycles which are not Caley-Bacharash.

4. If $\deg \xi = d$ and ξ is a sum of d distinct points on X which are not base points for $|L|$, then (ξ, L) is Caley-Bacharash iff $\phi_L(p) \in < \xi - p >_L$ for every $p \in \xi$.

1.19.3 Speciality index of a 0-cycle on a curve.

Let C be a (smooth) non-hyperelliptic curve and ξ be a simple 0-cycle on C of degree d, i.e., an effective divisor consisting of d distinct points.

Definition. The speciality index of 0-cycle ξ of degree d with respect to the canonical linear system $|K_C|$ is defined by

$$\dim < \xi >_{K_C} = d - 1 - \delta_C(\xi, K_C)$$

Lemma.

$$\delta_C(\xi, K_C) = \dim |\xi|$$

Proof. This is equivalent to the Riemann-Roch theorem.

1.19.4 Speciality index of a 0-cycle on a curve on a surface.

Let X be a surface with $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, C be an effective Cartier divisor on X such that $\Omega^2_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ is ample, and let ξ be a Cartier divisor on C. Let $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$, $A = \mathcal{O}_C(\xi)$ and $B = N_{C/X} A^{-1}$.

Lemma.

$$\delta_X(\xi, L) = h^1(C, B) - p_g(X)$$

Proof. Let $i : C \to X$ be the canonical embedding. The isomorphism $\alpha : \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_* B, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(C, A)$ of 1.1 and the description of extensions given in 1.13.2 give an extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} J_\xi(L) \to i_* B \to 0$$

with s vanishing at C. The induced long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(X, J_\xi(L)) \to H^1(X, i_* B) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^2(X, J_\xi(L)) \to 0$$

gives $h^1(J_\xi(L)) = h^1(X, B) - p_g + h^2 J_\xi(L) = h^1(C, B) - p_g + h^2(L) = h^1(C, B) - p_g$,where we used the Kodaira vanishing theorem.

1.19.5 0-cycle on a curve on $K3$

Let X be a K3 surface, C be a smooth irreducible curve on X such that $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ is ample, and let ξ be a Cartier divisor on C. Let $A = \mathcal{O}_C(\xi)$ and $B = K_C A^{-1}$.

Lemma.

$$\delta_X(\xi, L) = h^0(C, A) - 1$$

This is a corollary of the previous lemma.

If C is non-hyperelliptic and ξ is a simple 0-cycle, then the lemma above can be reformulated as

$$\delta_X(\xi, L) = \delta_C(\xi, K_C)$$

We also give another proof:

Let s be the canonical section of $L = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$. If ϕ_L is a morphism associated with linear system $|L|$ and H is a hyperplane in $H^0(X, L)^\vee$ orthogonal to s, there is a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "(\phi_L)|_C"] \arrow[d, "i"] & H \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r, "\phi_L"] & \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)^\vee
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "s"] & L \arrow[r] & L|_C \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the associated exact sequences

$$0 \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{s} H^0(X, L) \to H^0(C, L|_C) \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to H^0(C, L|_C)^\vee \to H^0(X, L)^\vee \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to 0$$

It is clear that there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}H^0(C, L|_C)^\vee \to H$ which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "\phi_{(L_C)}"] \arrow[dr, "(\phi_L)|_C"'] & \mathbb{P}H^0(C, L|_C)^\vee \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
& H
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the restriction $L|_C \simeq \Omega^1_C$, the linear spans $< \xi >_{K_C}$ and $< \xi >_L$ coincide, and the lemma follows.

2 Injectivity of generic evaluation map for globally generated vector bundles

2.1 The injectivity lemma.

2.1.0.1 Lemma. Let X be a reduced and irreducible scheme, and E be a locally free sheaf on X generated by global sections. Let $v \leq \operatorname{rk} E$. Then there is a *nonempty* open subset in the Grassmanian variety $Gr(v, H^0(E))$ such that for all V in this subset the map ϕ_V is injective.

Proof. Let $H = H^0(E)$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \ker\psi \arrow[r] & H \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\psi"] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
& \cup & \cup & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \ker\phi_V \arrow[r] & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\phi_V"] & E &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

Note that $\ker\psi$ is locally free and $\ker\phi_V = (\ker\psi) \cap (V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Let K be the field of rational functions on X. For a sheaf F on X we denote by $F(K)$ the K-vector space of rational sections of F, which is isomorphic to the fiber of F at the generic point of X. The diagram above induces the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & (\ker\psi)(K) \arrow[r] & H \otimes_k K \arrow[r] & E(K) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& \cup & \cup & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & (\ker\phi_V)(K) \arrow[r] & V \otimes_k K & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $(\ker\phi_V)(K)$ is the intersection of K-vector subspaces $(\ker\psi)(K)$ and $V \otimes K$ in $H \otimes K$.

For a k-vector space V let $V_K = V \otimes_k K$. Let $A = (\ker\psi)(K)$. The inequality $\dim V \leq \operatorname{rk} E$ implies that

$$\dim_K A + \dim_K V_K \leq \dim_K H(K)$$

Now the "Grassmanian Lemma" 2.2.0.2 below implies that for a generic $V \subset H$ the intersection $V_K \cap A = 0$, i.e., $(\ker\phi_V)(K) = 0$. But since $\ker\phi_V$ is a subsheaf of a torsion-free sheaf $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$, we have $\ker\phi_V = 0$.

2.2 Grassmanian lemma

2.2.0.2 Lemma. Let K/k be an extension of fields, F be a vector space over k, and let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Gr}_k(v, F)$ be the Grassmanian variety of v-dimensional k-vector subspaces in F. Let $F_K = F \otimes_k K$ and let $A \subset F_K$ be a K-vector subspace of dimension a such that $a + v \leq \dim_k F$. Let X_A be the set of all v-dimensional k-subspaces V in F such that $\dim_K(V_K \cap A) > 0$. Then there is a proper algebraic subvariety $\mathbf{X}_A$ in $\mathbf{G}$ such that X_A is the set of k - points of $\mathbf{X}_A$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Gr}_k(v, F)$ be the set of all v-dimensional k-vector subspaces in F; we have $\mathrm{Gr}_k(v, F) = \mathbf{G}(k)$. Let $\mathrm{Gr}_K(v, F_K)$ be the set of all v-dimensional K-vector subspaces in F_K and the $\mathbf{Gr}_K(v, F_K)$ be the corresponding Grassmanian variety. There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{Gr}_K(v, F_K) \simeq \mathbf{G} \otimes_k K$. (Note that the results of [1], pp9.7-9.8 can be applied to the Grassmanian of subspaces of F since the base scheme $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$).

Let $t = \dim_k F$ and $m = t - a - v + 1$; we have $m \geq 1$. Let

$$\Sigma_A = \{W \subset F_K : \dim_K W = v, \dim_K(W \cap A) > 0\}$$

It is well-known that Σ_A is the set of K-points of a proper algebraic subvariety $\mathbf{\Sigma}_A$ in $\mathbf{G}_K$ of codimension m. (The class of $\mathbf{\Sigma}_A$ in the cohomology ring or Chow ring is a special Schubert class $\sigma_m = \{m, 0, \ldots, 0\}$, cf. [8], p. 14.7).

Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_k(\Lambda^v H)$ and $\mathbb{P}_K = \mathbb{P} \otimes_k K$; there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{P}_K \simeq \mathbb{P}_K(\Lambda^v H_K)$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Gr}_K(v, H_K) \arrow[r, "p_K"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{P}_K(\Lambda^v H_K) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{Gr}_k(v, H) \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathbb{P}_k(\Lambda^v H)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where p and p_K are Plucker morphisms.

Consider first the case $t = a + v$, $m = 1$. In this case $\mathbf{\Sigma}_A = \mathbf{G}_K \underset{\mathbb{P}_K}{\cap} \mathbf{H}_A$ for some hyperplane section $\mathbf{H}_A \subset \mathbb{P}_K$.

Let $H_A = \mathbf{H}_A(K)$, $G = \mathbf{G}(k)$, $G_K = \mathbf{G}_K(K)$, $P = \mathbb{P}(k)$ and $P_K = \mathbb{P}_K(K)$. There are natural embeddings of G into G_K and P into P_K. Note that the intersection $H_A \underset{P_K}{\cap} P$ is the set of k- points of some linear subspace $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbb{P}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
X_A = \Sigma_A \underset{G_K}{\cap} G = (H_A \underset{P_K}{\cap} G_K) \underset{G_K}{\cap} G \overset{[1]}{=} (H_A \underset{P_K}{\cap} P) \underset{P}{\cap} G = I \underset{P}{\cap} G = \\
= \mathbf{I}(k) \underset{\mathbb{P}(k)}{\cap} \mathbf{G}(k) = (\mathbf{I} \underset{\mathbb{P}}{\cap} \mathbf{G})(k)
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality [1] is induced by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
P_K & \supset & G_K \\
\cup & & \cup \\
P & \supset & G
\end{array}$$

Therefore we can let $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I} \cap \mathbf{G}$.

Note that if $A \subset F_K$ is in a general position and $\dim K/k > \dim_k \mathbf{G}$, then X_A is empty.

In the general case consider the canonical map

$$u_A : A \underset{k}{\otimes} \Lambda^{v-1} F \to \Lambda^v F$$

and let $\mathbf{L}_A = \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Im}(u_A))$. $\mathbf{L}_A$ is a linear subspace in $\mathbb{P}$, and there is a fibered square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{G} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P} \\
\cup & \cup \\
\mathbf{\Sigma}_A \arrow[r] & \mathbf{L}_A
\end{tikzcd}$$

which allows one to repeat the computation above.

Example. Let $\dim_k F = 4$, $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Gr}(2, F) = \mathbf{Gr}(1, \mathbb{P}(F))$, and let A be one-dimensional vector subspace in F. We have $L_A \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 F)$, $L_A \simeq \mathbb{P}(A \otimes_k (F/A))$, $\dim L_A = 2$. Note that $L_A \subset \mathbf{G}$, and therefore $\Sigma_A = L_A \cap G = L_A$. If $p = \mathbb{P}(A)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}(F)$, then $\mathbf{\Sigma}_A = \mathbf{\Sigma}_p$ is the variety of lines in $\mathbb{P}(F) \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ containing the point p.

Let $L_A = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3$, where H_1, H_2 and H_3 are hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 F)$. Then the intersection of $H_1 \cap H_2$ and $\mathbf{G}$ in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2(F))$ is proper, and $G \cap H_1 \cap H_2$ has two irreducible components, one of them $G \cap H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3$. If l_1 and l_2 are two lines in $\mathbb{P}(F)$ intersecting at the (single) point p, and if $H_1 = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{l_1}$ and $H_2 = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{l_2}$, then

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{l_1} \cap \mathbf{\Sigma}_{l_2} = \mathbf{\Sigma}_p + \mathbf{\Omega}_e,$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega}_e$ is the variety of lines contained in the plane e spanned by l_1 and l_2. This decomposition corresponds to the equality $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2 + \sigma_e$ in the Chow ring of $\mathbf{G}$, (see, for example, [8], Example 14.7.2). In coordinates, we have $\mathbf{G} = a_{12}a_{34} - a_{13}a_{24} + a_{14}a_{23} = 0$, and if $H_1 = (a_{12} = 0)$, $H_2 = (a_{13} = 0)$ and $H_3 = (a_{14} = 0)$, then $\mathbf{G} \cap H_1 \cap H_2 = (a_{12} = 0, a_{13} = 0, a_{14} = 0) + (a_{12} = 0, a_{13} = 0, a_{23} = 0) = G \cap H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3 + \Omega_e$.

3 One application of Bertini theorem

3.1 Smoothness lemma

I did not find a reference for the following lemma, though it is probably standard:

3.1.0.3 Lemma. Let Y be a smooth irreducible affine scheme over a field k, $R = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$ be a sequence of elements in R, and let $Z = Z(s)$ be a subscheme in Y given by s.

(a) There is a complex of coherent sheaves on Z

$$\mathcal{O}_Z^r \overset{(ds_1|_Z, \dots, ds_r|_Z)}{\to} (\Omega^1_{Y/k})|_Z \to \Omega^1_{Z/k} \to 0$$

(b) If $ds_1|_Z, \dots, ds_r|_Z$ are $\mathcal{O}_Z$-independent sections of the locally free sheaf $(\Omega^1_{Y/k})|_Z = \Omega^1_{Y/k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z$, (i.e., if $(ds_1 \wedge \dots \wedge ds_r) \otimes 1$ is a non-vanishing section of $\Omega^r_{Y/k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z$), then $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ form a regular sequence in R, and Z is smooth over k of dimension $\dim_k Y - r$.

Proof. Let us prove (b). Consider first the case $r = 1$. In this case Z is given by a single element $s \in R$. Let $j : Z \to X$ be the canonical embedding, and let J be the ideal generated by s in R. Taking the global sections of the exact sequence

$$N_{Z/X} \to j^*(\Omega^1_{X/k}) \to \Omega^1_{Z/k} \to 0$$

(cf. [2], 4.16.4.21), we get the exact sequence of R/J-modules

$$J/J^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{R/k} \underset{R}{\otimes} R/J \to \Omega^1_{(R/J)/k} \to 0$$

(cf. [1], 0.20.5.12.1), where J/J^2 is a free R/J-module of rank one generated by $s \mod J^2$. Let $p \in Z$. It is clear that the condition $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{R/J}(\Omega^1_{(R/J)/k}, k(p)) = 0$ is equivalent to $ds \otimes k(p) \neq 0$, which follows from the assumption of the lemma. It follows that Ω^1_Z is a locally free sheaf of rank $d-1$, where $d = \dim Y$, and therefore Z is nonsingular of dimension $d-1$.

It is clear that $\{s\}$ forms a regular sequence: indeed, if $st = 0$ for some $t \in R$, we have $sdt + tds = 0$, and $X = V(s) \cup V(t)$. Therefore we have $tds = 0$ on $V(s) = Z$, which contradicts to the assumption on $ds|Z$. (We do not want to use the irreducibility of X in order to be able to use this argument in the induction step later.)

Consider now the case $r > 1$. Let $R_i = R/(s_1, \dots, s_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$; we have $R_i = R_{i-1}/(s_i)$. Let $Z_i = \operatorname{Spec} R_i$.

Consider the map $i_r : \mathcal{O}_Y^r \to \Omega^1_{Y/k}$ given by $(ds_1, \dots, ds_r)$. Taking the r-th exterior power, we get

$$\wedge^r i_r : \wedge^r \mathcal{O}_Y^r \to \Omega^r_{Y/k}$$

Since $(ds_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge ds_r)|_Z \neq 0$, $\wedge^r i_r$ gives a section of $\Omega^r_{Y/k}$ not vanishing on Z, and therefore there is an affine subscheme $U \subset Y$ such that $Z \subset U$ and $ds_1, \dots, ds_r$ are $\mathcal{O}_U$-independent sections of $\Omega^1_Y|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^1_U$. Let us substitute Y by U.

Assume that for some $i \geq 2$ Z_{i-1} is smooth of dimension $d-(i-1)$ and that the sequence $(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1})$ is regular. Now Z_i is given by a single equation s_i in R_{i-1}, and the exact sequence of R_i-modules

$$(R_{i-1}s_i)/(R_{i-1}s_i^2) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{R_{i-1}/k} \underset{R_{i-1}}{\otimes} R_i \to \Omega^1_{R_i/k} \to 0$$

gives that $\Omega^1_{R_i/k}$ is locally free at $p \in Z_i$ of rank $d-i$ iff $ds_i \otimes k(p) \neq 0$. But since $(ds_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge ds_r) \otimes k(p) \neq 0$ for all $p \in Y$, the lemma follows.

We can repeat the argument for $r = 1$ to see that s_i is not a zero divisor in R_{i-1}.

The proof of (a) is straightforward.

3.2 $Z(s)$ for a generic section of a globally generated bundle

For a section s of a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ we denote by $Z(s)$ the scheme of zeroes of s.

3.2.0.4 Proposition. Let X be a smooth proper scheme over a field k of characteristics 0, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on X generated by global sections. Then

(a) If $\operatorname{rk} E > \dim X$, then a generic sections $s \in H^0(E)$ does not vanish on X;

(b) If $\operatorname{rk} E \leq \dim X$, then for a generic $s \in H^0(E)$ the scheme $Z(s)$ is smooth of codimension r in X, and, moreover, each point $q \in Z(s)$ has an affine neighborhood on which s is given by regular sequence.

Proof. Let E be the vector bundle $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Sym} \mathcal{E}^\vee$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}(E^\vee) \arrow[d, "\pi"] \arrow[dr] & \\
X \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} k
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)$ is the notation of [8] for the projectivization of a vector bundle (in the notation of EGA2 this is $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Proj} \operatorname{Sym} \mathcal{E}$).

Let $\mathcal{O}_{E^\vee}(1)$ be the canonical invertible sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)$. Since $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{E^\vee}(1) \simeq \mathcal{E}$, the diagram above induced the isomorphism

$$A : H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathbb{P}(E^\vee), \mathcal{O}_{E^\vee}(1))$$

If $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$, let $D_s = Z(A(s))$ be the divisor of zeroes of the corresponding section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)}(1)$.

3.2.0.5 Lemma. The locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by global sections iff the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{E^\vee}(1)|$ on $\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)$ is basepoint-free.

Proof. Assume that the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{E^\vee}(1)|$ has a base point $p \in \mathbb{P}(E^\vee)$, i.e., that $p \in D_s$ for all for all $s \in H^0(X, E)$. Let $q = \pi(p)$, and let $H_p \subset E(q)$ be the hyperplane in the fiber of the vector bundle E over the point q orthogonal which consists of vectors orthogonal to the point $p \in E^\vee(q)$. The condition $p \in D_s$ is equivalent to the condition $s(q) \in H_p$. Since this should be true for all sections $s \in H^0(X, E)$, it follows that the fiber $E(q)$ is not generated by the global sections of E.

The inverse statement of lemma is straightforward.

3.2.0.6 Lemma. The divisor D_s is singular iff $Z(s)$ is neither smooth of dimension $d - r$ nor empty.

Proof. Let $q \in X$, and let U be an affine subset in X containing q such that both $\mathcal{E}$ and Ω^1_X can be trivialized when restricted to U. Let us choose an isomorphism $\alpha_U : \mathcal{E}|_U \to \mathcal{O}_U^r$, and let $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$, $e_i \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$, be the preimages of the canonical sections of $\mathcal{O}_U^r$.

Taking the dual of α_U, we get a trivialization $\alpha_U^\vee : \mathcal{O}_U^r \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^\vee|_U$ and, therefore, an isomorphism $U \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(E^\vee|_U)$. Let $(e_1^\vee, \dots, e_r^\vee)$ be the dual trivialization of $\mathcal{E}^\vee|_U$, and let $x_1, \dots, x_r$ be the canonical homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

Let $R = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ and let us make a base change to U. We have $s = \sum_1^r s_i e_i$ for some $s_i \in R$. It is clear that $D_s \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ can be given by by the equation

$$f_s = \sum_1^r s_i x_i$$

The singularities of D_s are given by the condition $df_s = \sum_1^r s_i dx_i + \sum_1^r x_i ds_i = 0$, which is equivalent to the condition (1) $s_i = 0$ for all i and (2) $\sum_1^r x_i ds_i = 0$.

In other words, let $Z = Z(s)$, and consider the map of the locally free sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{O}_X^r \to \Omega_X^1$, where $\phi = (ds_1, \dots, ds_r)$. Consider the restriction of ϕ on Z and consider the corresponding map of vector bundles

$$1_Z^r \xrightarrow{\phi|_Z} T_X^\vee|_Z$$

Let C_s be the preimage of the zero section of $T_X^\vee|_Z$ under ϕ_Z, and let PC_s be the projectivization of C_s. We have $PC_s = \operatorname{Sing}(D_s)$.

(Scheme-theoretically, for a morphism of locally free sheaves $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ the preimage of the zero section of F can be defined as $\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{Sym}\mathcal{E}^\vee / \phi(\operatorname{Sym}^+ \mathcal{F}^\vee) \cdot \operatorname{Sym}\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ and the corresponding projective cone as a Proj of the same graded sheaf of algebras.)

Now lemma 3.1 gives a complex

$$\mathcal{O}_Z^r \xrightarrow{(ds_1|_Z, \dots, ds_r|_Z)} \Omega_X^1|_Z \to \Omega_Z^1 \to 0$$

Let now $q \in x$, and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker\phi \otimes k(q) \to k(q)^r \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes k(q)} \Omega_X^1 \otimes k(q) \to \Omega_Z^1 \otimes k(q) \to 0$$

$\dim_k \Omega_Z^1 \otimes k(q) > r - d$. If $C_s(q)$ is a scheme-theoretic fiber of C_s over q, then set of k-points is in a natural correspondence with $\ker\phi \otimes k(q)$.

Let us come back to the general case of not-necessarily affine X. Let $\operatorname{Sing}_{d-r}(Z)$ be the set of points $q \in Z$ for which $\dim_k \Omega_Z^1 \otimes k(q) > d - r$, i.e., the set of points at which Z fails to be smooth of expected codimension. It is clear that this is a set of points of a closed subscheme in Z, and that the canonical morphism $PC_s \to X$ factorizes through $\operatorname{Sing}_{d-r}(Z)$. In another words, there is a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}(E^\vee) \arrow[d] & \supset & D_s & \supset & \operatorname{Sing} D_s = PC_s \arrow[d, "\pi'"] \\
X & \supset & Z & \supset & \operatorname{Sing}_{d-r}(Z)
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is clear that π' is epimorphic, and the statement of the lemma follows.

3.2.0.7 Lemma. If $r > d$, then either $Z(s)$ is empty and D_s is smooth, or $Z(s)$ is not empty and D_s is singular. If $r \leq d$, then either $Z(s)$ is empty or smooth of expected dimension $d - r$ while D_s is smooth, or $Z(s)$ fails to be smooth of expected dimension while D_s is singular.

This lemma follows from the previous one immediately.

Let us now use the assumption $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ and use the Bertini's theorem (cf. [4]):

3.2.0.8 Corollary. If $r > d$, then the Bertini theorem implies that $Z(s)$ is empty for the generic $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$, and if $r \leq d$, then the Bertini theorem implies that $Z(s)$ is either empty or smooth of dimension $d-r$ for the generic $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$, and the generic section s gives a regular sequence in the coordinate ring of some affine neighborhood of every point $q \in X$.

This finishes the proof of the theorem.

4 Computation of $J_\xi(L)/\mathcal{O}_X$

Let X be a surface, ξ be a 0-subscheme in X, L be an invertible sheaf on X and $s \in H^0(X, L)$ be $\mathcal{O}_X - \mathit{regular}$ section of L. Considering the embedding $J_\xi(L) \subset L$, we get an $\mathcal{O}_X$-regular global section of L. Let C be the Cartier divisor of zeroes of this section and let $i : C \to X$ be the embedding of the support of C into X. Twisting the homomorphism

$$s : \mathcal{O}_X \to J_\xi(L) \tag{4.1}$$

with $\mathcal{O}_X(-C) \simeq L^{-1}$, we see that ξ is a Cartier divisor on C. Let $l = i^*(L)$.

4.0.0.9 Lemma. The map 4.1 can be included into the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to J_\xi(L) \to i_*(l(-\xi)) \to 0$$

Proof. Let $j : \xi \to C$ be the canonical embedding of the support of ξ to C and let $k = ij$. Then the inclusions

$$\xi \overset{j}{\subset} C \overset{i}{\subset} X$$

give the filtration $J_{C,X} \subset J_{\xi,X} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ which induces the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & J_{C,X} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & J_{C,X} \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & J_{\xi,X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & k_*\mathcal{O}_\xi \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & i_*J_{\xi,C} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*\mathcal{O}_C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*j_*\mathcal{O}_\xi \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This diagram can be rewritten as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \mathcal{O}_X(-C) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_X(-C) \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & J_{\xi,X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & k_*\mathcal{O}_\xi \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & i_*\mathcal{O}_C(-\xi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*\mathcal{O}_C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*j_*\mathcal{O}_\xi \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

twisting it with $\mathcal{O}_X(C) \simeq L$, we get the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & J_\xi(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (k_*\mathcal{O}_\xi)(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & i_*(l(-\xi)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*l \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & i_*((j_*\mathcal{O}_\xi)(l)) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

left column of which gives the desired exact sequence.

5 Good degeneration of e_V in the globally generated case

5.0.0.10 Proposition. Let X be a smooth surface over a field k of characteristics 0, and E be a globally generated locally free sheaf on X. Let l be an integer, $1 \leq l \leq \operatorname{rk} E$. Then for the generic vector subspace $V \subset H^0(X, E)$ of dimension l the cokernel of the evaluation map

$$e_V : H^0(X, E) \underset{k}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X \to E$$

is acceptable.

Proof.

By Lemma 2.1.0.1, for a generic $V \subset H^0(E)$ of dimension l the map e_V is injective. Let $V \subset H^0(E)$ be generic, and let $l = \dim_k V$.

(a) Assume first that $l \leq \operatorname{rk} E - 2$. In this case $r - l \geq 2$. By lemma 3.2.0.4, for generic $v \in V$ the corresponding section $s_v \in H^0(X, E)$ does not vanish on X, and therefore the factor-sheaf $E' = E/e_V(v \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ is locally free. There is a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & v\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & v\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (V/v)\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying induction to the pair $(E', V/v)$, we conclude that F is locally free of rank $r-l$.

(b) Assume now that $\dim_k V = \operatorname{rk} E - 1$. Following the step (a), we can choose a generic $l-1$ -dimensional vector subspace $W \subset V$ such that $E' = E/e_V(W\otimes O)$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 2 which can be included into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (V/V)\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the pair $(E', V/W)$. E' is a locally free sheaf of rank 2 and V/W is one-dimensional vector subspace in $H^0(X, E')$. Let $u \in V/W$, $u \neq 0$, and let s_u be the corresponding section of E'. The proposition 3.2.0.4 ensures that by choosing W to be generic enough we have that either $Z(s)$ is empty or that each point $x \in X$ has an affine neighborhood $U \simeq \operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $s|_U$ is given by a regular sequence (s_1, s_2), $s_i \in R$. Let $J = Rs_1 + Rs_2$; the (cohomological) Koszul resolution

$$0 \to R \overset{(s_1,s_2)}{\to} R^2 \overset{(-s_2,s_1)}{\to} J \to 0$$

proves that $F|_U \simeq J$, which finishes the proof.

(c) Assume now that $\dim V = \operatorname{rk} E$. By choosing a generic $l-1$ -dimensional vector subspace $W \subset V$ and considering $E' = E/(W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ as in (b) we get a torsion-free sheaf E' of rank 1 and 1-dimensional subspace in the vector space $H^0(X, E')$. Since E' is torsion free, it is of the form $J_\xi(L)$ for a 0-subscheme $\xi \subset X$, and by lemma 4.0.0.9, F in the diagram above is isomorphic to the direct image of an invertible sheaf on some Cartier divisor C on X, which completes the proof.

6 Construction of Brill-Noether loci

6.1 Complex $K(E)$

E be a torsion-free coherent sheaf on a surface X. We construct a complex of vector spaces which computes $H^i(X, E)$. Let C be an effective divisor X which is ample enough so that $H^i(X, E(C)) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $i_1 : C \to X$ be the embedding of C to C. Tensoring the adjunction sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(C) \to (i_1)_* \mathcal{O}_C(C) \to 0$$

with E, we get

$$0 \to E \to E(C) \to (i_1)_* E(C)|_C \to 0$$

Let $F = E(C)|_C$. We can choose an effective divisor ξ on C such that $H^1(C, F(\xi)) = 0$. Let $i_2 : \xi \to C$ be the embedding. We get a complex

$$0 \to F \to F(\xi) \to (i_2)_* F(\xi)|_\xi \to 0$$

Thus we get exact sequences

$$0 \to H^0(X, E) \to H^0(X, E(C)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^0(C, E(C)|_C) \to H^1(X, E) \to 0,$$
$$0 \to H^1(C, E(C)|_C) \to H^2(X, E) \to 0,$$
$$0 \to H^0(C, F) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^0(C, F(\xi)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^0(\xi, F(\xi)|_\xi) \to H^1(C, F) \to 0$$

Let $K(E)$ be the complex of vector spaces

$$K(E) = \Big(0 \to H^0(X, E(C)) \xrightarrow{\beta\circ\alpha} H^0(C, F(\xi)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^0(\xi, F(\xi)|_\xi) \to 0\Big)$$

which computes $H^*(X, E)$.

Note that this inductive procedure of choosing a flag of divisors works on a variety of any dimension d and gives a complex of length d.

6.2 Relative case

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a flat family of sheaves with base S on a scheme X. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times S \arrow[d, "f_2"] & C \times S \arrow[l, "j_1"'] \arrow[dl, "f_1"'] & \xi \times S \arrow[l, "j_2"'] \arrow[dll, "f_0"] \\
S & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $p_X : X \times S \to X$ and $p_C : C \times S \to X$ be the projection morphisms. We denote the lift of C to $X \times S$ as C, etc. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}(C)|_{S \times C}$. We can choose C and ξ such that $R^n f_{2*}\mathcal{E}(C) = R^n f_{1*}\mathcal{F}(\xi) = 0$ for $n > 0$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}(\xi)|_{\xi \times S}$.

The exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}(C) \to \mathcal{E}(C)|_{C \times S} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}(\xi) \to \mathcal{F}(\xi)|_{\xi} \to 0$$

give long exact sequences

$$0 \to f_{2*}\mathcal{E} \to f_{2*}\mathcal{E}(C) \xrightarrow{\alpha} f_{2*}i_{1*}\mathcal{E}(C)|_{C \times S} \to R^1 f_{2*}\mathcal{E} \to 0,$$
$$0 \to R^1 f_{2*}j_{1*}\mathcal{F} \to R^2 f_{2*}\mathcal{E} \to 0,$$
$$0 \to f_{1*}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} f_{1*}\mathcal{F}(\xi) \xrightarrow{\gamma} f_{1*}j_{2*}F(\xi)|_{\xi \times S} \to R^1 f_{1*}F \to 0$$

and a complex of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules

$$K(\mathcal{E}) = \Big(0 \to f_{2*}\mathcal{E}(C) \xrightarrow{\beta \circ \alpha} f_{1*}\mathcal{F}(\xi) \xrightarrow{\gamma} f_{0*}\mathcal{G} \to 0\Big)$$

which computes $R^i f_{2*}\mathcal{E}$.

6.3 Fibers of $K(\mathcal{E})$

Let $y \in S$ be a closed point, and let $E = \mathcal{E}|_{X_y}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is flat over S and $R^n f_{2*}(\mathcal{E}(C)) = 0$ for $n > 0$, we have (cf. [3], ch.2, n.5, coroll.4,3,2 respectively):

$$H^n(X, E(C)) = 0 \text{ for } n > 0,$$
$$(R^0 f_{2*}\mathcal{E}(C)) \otimes k(y) = H^0(X, E(C)),$$
$$R^0 f_{2*}\mathcal{E}(C) \text{ is locally free.}$$

and for $F = E(C)|_C$ we have

$$H^n(C, F(\xi)) = 0 \text{ for } n > 0,$$
$$(R^0 f_{1*}\mathcal{F}(\xi)) \otimes k(y) = H^0(C, F(\xi)),$$
$$R^0 f_{1*}\mathcal{F}(\xi) \text{ is locally free.}$$

Let $G = F(\xi)|_\xi$. It is clear that

$$H^n(\xi, G) = 0 \text{ for } n > 0,$$
$$(R^0 f_{0*}\mathcal{G} \otimes k(y) = H^0(\xi, G),$$
$$R^0 f_{0*}\mathcal{G} \text{ is locally free.}$$

It proves that
$$K(\mathcal{E}) \otimes k(y) \simeq K(E_y)$$

6.4 Construction of Brill-Noether loci

If K is a complex
$$E_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} E_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} E_3$$
of locally free sheaves on a scheme S, we define a closed subscheme $S_k(K)$ as

$$S_k = \bigcap_{k_1+k_2-\operatorname{rk} E_1 = k} Z(\Lambda^{k_1}\sigma_1) \cap Z(\Lambda^{k_2}\sigma_2)$$

where $Z(\phi)$ is a scheme of zeroes of ϕ.

The points y of S_k are exactly the points of S for which $\dim_{k(y)} H(K \otimes k(y)) \geq k$.

Thus, having a flat family of sheaves en a surface (or a scheme) X with base S and using the complex $K(\mathcal{E})$ constructed in the previous paragraph we can define the Brill-Noether subschemes BN^i_k such that for every $y \in BN^i_k$ we have $H^i(X, E_y) \geq k$.

If (X, H) is a polarized K3 surface and $\mathcal{E}$ is such a family that $(c_1(E_y), H) > 0$, then $H^2(X, E_y) = 0$ for every $y \in S$ and Riemann-Roch theorem gives $BN^1_k = BN^0_{\chi(E_y)-k}$. In this situation we define $BN_k = BN^0_k$.

7 Construction of the correspondence

7.1 The space of Brill-Noether pairs

7.1.1 α-stable Brill-Noether pairs

Let E be a coherent sheaf on algebraic variety X and $V \subset H^0(E)$ be a linear subspace. (E, V) is called a Brill-Noether pair.

Let H be an ample line bundle on X and let $P(E) = P_H(E)$ be the Hilbert polynomial of E with respect to H. Assume that $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 1$.

Definition (Le Potier.)

(1) Let α be a polynomial with positive rational coefficients. Then

$$p(E) = \frac{P(E)}{\operatorname{rk} E},$$
$$p_\alpha(E, V) = p(E) + \alpha \cdot \frac{\dim V}{\operatorname{rk} E}$$

(2) The pair (E, V) is called α-stable if E is pure and for any sub-pair $(F, W) \subset (E, V)$ one has $p_\alpha(F, W) < p_\alpha(E, V)$.

Theorem (Le Potier - He Min): There is a (coarse) moduli space of α - stable Brill-Noether pairs denoted as $Syst_{X,\alpha}(l, p(E))$, where $l = \dim V$. (cf. [10])

7.1.2 ϵ-stable Brill-Noether pairs

7.1.2.1 Lemma. Let (E, V) be a Brill-Noether pair on a surface X. Assume that $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$. Let ϵ be a positive rational number such that $\epsilon < \frac{1}{\dim V(\operatorname{rk} E - 1)}$. If (E, V) is ϵ-stable, then E is semistable.

Proof. Let (E, V) be an ϵ-stable pair for some positive rational ϵ, where $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$. Let F be a subsheaf of E. Since E is pure of rank at least 2, we have $\operatorname{rk} F > 0$. Let $e = \operatorname{rk} E$ and $f = \operatorname{rk} F$. Since $(F, 0)$ is a sub-pair of (E, V), we have

$$p(F) < p(E) + \epsilon \frac{\dim V}{\operatorname{rk} E},$$

or

$$\frac{\chi(F_H)}{f} m + \frac{\chi(F)}{f} < \frac{\chi(E_H)}{e} m + \frac{\chi(E)}{e} + \epsilon \frac{\dim V}{e} \tag{7.1}$$

It implies that either $\frac{\chi(F_H)}{f} < \frac{\chi(E_H)}{e}$, in which case $p(F) < p(E)$ and F does not destabilize E, or $\frac{\chi(F_H)}{f} = \frac{\chi(E_H)}{e}$, in which case (7.1) implies

$$\frac{\chi(F)}{f} - \frac{\chi(E)}{e} < \epsilon \frac{\dim V}{e},$$

or

$$\chi(F)e - \chi(E)f < \epsilon f \dim V$$

In particular, if $\epsilon f \dim V < 1$, then $\chi(F)e - \chi(E)f \leq 0$, which implies $p(F) \leq p(E)$. It follows that if ϵ is such that $\epsilon < \frac{1}{f \dim V}$ for any potentially destabilizing F, then E is semistable. In the definition of stability of a sheaf E it is enough to consider the subsheaves $F \subset E$ for which $\dim \operatorname{Supp} E/F = \dim \operatorname{Supp} E$, and therefore we may assume that $f \leq \operatorname{rk}(E) - 1$. It implies that if $\epsilon < \frac{1}{\dim V(\operatorname{rk} E - 1)}$, then E is semistable.

7.1.2.2 Lemma. Let X be a polarized algebraic surface, and let (E, V) be a Brill-Noether pair on X. Assume that $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$. Let ϵ be a positive rational number such that $\epsilon < \frac{1}{\dim V(\operatorname{rk} E - 1)}$. If E is stable, then the pair (E, V) is ϵ-stable.

Proof. Assume that E is stable and let (F, W) be a sub-pair of (E, V). Let $f = \operatorname{rk} F$ and $e = \operatorname{rk} E$; we have $f > 0$.

Since E is stable, $p(F) < p(E)$, or

$$\frac{\chi(F_H)}{f} m + \frac{\chi(F)}{f} < \frac{\chi(E_H)}{e} m + \frac{\chi(E)}{e} \tag{7.2}$$

Let ϵ be a positive number. The condition that (F,W) does not ϵ-destabilize (E,V) is equivalent to the

$$\frac{\chi(F_H)}{f}m + \frac{\chi(F)}{f} + \epsilon\frac{\dim W}{f} < \frac{\chi(E_H)}{e}m + \frac{\chi(E)}{e} + \epsilon\frac{\dim V}{e} \tag{7.3}$$

Now (7.2) implies that either $\frac{\chi(F_H)}{f} < \frac{\chi(E_H)}{e}$, in which case (7.3) holds automatically, or that $\frac{\chi(F_H)}{f} = \frac{\chi(E_H)}{e}$ and $\frac{\chi(E)}{e} - \frac{\chi(F)}{f} > 0$, in which case (7.3) is equivalent to the condition

$$(f\chi(E) - e\chi(F)) + \epsilon\,(f\dim V - e\dim W) > 0$$

Since $f\chi(E) - e\chi(F) \geq 1$, the last condition is equivalent to the condition $\epsilon(f\dim V - e\dim W) > -1$, or

$$\epsilon < \frac{1}{e\dim W - f\dim V}$$

In particular, if $\epsilon < \frac{1}{(e-1)\dim V}$, then (E,V) is ϵ-stable.

7.2 The space of good Brill-Noether pairs

Let X be an algebraic surface, $r \geq 1$, $v_1 \in \mathrm{Num}(X)$ and $v_2 \in 1/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $v = (r, v_1, v_2)$ and let $M = M_H(v)$ be the moduli space of stable *acceptable* sheaves with Chern character v. Let r' be an an integer such that $0 \leq r' < r$, and let $v' = (r', v_1, v_2)$. Let $l = r - r'$, and let $A_l(v) = A_{r,r'}(v)$ be the open subscheme in $Syst_\epsilon(r - r', v)$ which parametrize the pairs (E,V) such that

1. E is acceptable,

2. $e_V : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E$ is monomorphic,

3. $E' = \operatorname{coker} e_V$ is acceptable,

4. $\operatorname{coker} e_V$ is stable.

We call $A_l(v)$ the moduli space of *good* Brill-Noether pairs. A point (E,V) in the $A_l(v)$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0$$

Let $M' = M_H(v')$ be the moduli space of stable *acceptable* sheaves with Chern character $v' = (r', v_1, v_2)$. The are natural maps $\pi_1 : A_l(v) \to M$ and $\pi_2 : A_l(v) \to M'$ which take the pair (E,V) to E and E' correspondingly:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & A_l(v) \arrow[dl, "\pi_1"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_2"] & \\ M(v) & & M(v') \end{tikzcd} \tag{7.4}$$

7.3 Description of fibers

7.3.0.3 Lemma.

(1) The fiber of π_1 at a point $[E] \in M$ is isomorphic to an open subscheme in the Grassmanian variety $Gr(l, H^0(E))$.

(2) The fiber of π_2 at a point $[E'] \in M'$ is isomorphic to an open subscheme in $Gr(l, H^1(E')^\vee)$.

The proof of (1) is clear: the fiber of π_1 is an open subscheme in $Gr(l, H^0(E))$ parametrizing linear subspaces V for which the evaluation map e_V is monomorphic and $\operatorname{coker} e_V$ is acceptable and stable.

To describe fibers of π_2 at $[E'] \in M'$, we proceed in a few steps.

Step 1: fix a vector space W of dimension $r - r'$ and define the moduli space $A'_{r,r'}$ of "rigified" Brill-Noether pairs which parametrizes data $(E, i : W \to H^0(E))$, where i is monomorphic and $\dim W = r - r'$. In other words, $A'_{r,r'}$ is a moduli space of triples $(E, V \subset H^0(E), j : V \xrightarrow{\sim} W)$. There is a forgetting morphism $p : A'_{r,r'} \to A_{r,r'}$, and it is clear that $A'_{r,r'}$ is a principle $GL(W)$-bundle over $A_{r,r'}$.

Let $\pi'_2 : A' \to M'$ take (E, W) to $E' := \operatorname{coker} i_W$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A'_{r,r'} \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] \arrow[ddr, "\pi'_2"] & \\
A_{r,r'} \arrow[dr, "\pi_2"'] & \\
& M'
\end{tikzcd}$$

A point (E, i) in A' gives an extension

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0 \tag{7.5}$$

where $E' = [\operatorname{coker} i_W]$.

Let $m' = [E'] \in M'$, and let $F_{m'}$ be the fiber of π_2 over m'. Let $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)^a$ be the open subscheme in $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ classifying stable acceptable extensions There is a natural morphism

$$\theta : \operatorname{Ext}^1(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)^a \to F_{m'}$$

which takes the extension class

$$0 \to W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} E' \to 0$$

to the pair $(E, h^0(\alpha)(W))$, where $h^0(\alpha) : W \to H^0(X, E)$ is induced by α.

It is clear that θ is epimorphic.

Step 2: Note that there is an action of the group $GL(W) \times \operatorname{Aut}(E')$ on $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ which preserves the (subscheme of) stable acceptable extensions: if $(\gamma, \delta) \in GL(W) \times \operatorname{Aut}(E')$, then we define $(\gamma, \delta) \circ e$ to be the bottom row of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"', "\wr"] & E \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, equal] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"', "\wr"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\alpha\gamma^{-1}"] & E \arrow[r, "\delta\beta"] & E' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is clear that θ is invariant under this action, i.e., $\theta((\gamma, \delta) \circ e) = \theta(e)$.

7.3.0.4 Lemma. If $e_i \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X, E')^a$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\theta(e_1) = \theta(e_2)$, then $e_2 = (\gamma, \delta) \circ e_1$ for some element $(\gamma, \delta) \in GL(W) \times \mathrm{Aut}(E')$.

Indeed, let $V_i = h^0(\alpha_i)(W)$, $i = 1, 2$; since $\theta(e_1) = \theta(e_2)$, there is an isomorphism $i : E_1 \to E_2$ which takes V_1 to V_2. Let us construct $\gamma \in GL(W)$ from the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, dashed, "\gamma"] & V_1 \arrow[d, "i"] \\
W \arrow[r, "\alpha_2"] & V_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $i : E_1 \to E_2$ takes $\mathrm{Im}\,\alpha_1$ to $\mathrm{Im}\,\alpha_2$, the isomorphism i induces an isomorphism $\delta : E' \to E'$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & E_1 \arrow[r, "\beta_1"] \arrow[d, "i"] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\alpha_2"] & E_2 \arrow[r, "\beta_2"] & E' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

But now, twisting with (γ, δ), we get an equivalence of extensions $(\gamma, \delta) \circ e_1 \equiv e_2$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\alpha_1\gamma^{-1}"] \arrow[d, equal] & E_1 \arrow[r, "\delta\beta_1"] \arrow[d, "i"', "\wr"] & E' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \arrow[r, "\alpha_2"] & E_2 \arrow[r, "\beta_2"] & E' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Step 3: Since E' is stable, it is also simple and the action of the group $GL(W) \times \mathrm{Aut}(E')$ reduces to the action of $GL(W)$. Let α_e be the image of e under the isomorphism $u : \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(W^\vee, \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', \mathcal{O}))$. Lemma 1.16.0.3 implies that if α_e is not monomorphic, then E is not stable . Consider the space of monomorphic linear maps $\mathrm{Monom}(W^\vee, \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', \mathcal{O}))$. It has free $GL(W)$-action, and $\mathrm{Monom}(W^\vee, \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', \mathcal{O}))/GL(W) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}(l, \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', \mathcal{O}))$, where $l = \dim W = r - r'$. It follows that the fiber of π_2 is an open subscheme in the Grassmanian variety $\mathrm{Gr}(r - r', \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', \mathcal{O}))$ given by the condition that E in the extension defined by $\alpha_e \in \mathrm{Monom}(W^\vee, \mathrm{Ext}^1(E', \mathcal{O}))$ is acceptable and stable.

7.4 Nonemptiness of fibers

7.4.0.5 Lemma. Assume that $[E] \in M$ and either $\operatorname{rk} E = 1$, or $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$ and the following two conditions are true:
(a) E is globally generated, and
(b) $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}, c_1(E) = 1$.
Then the fiber of π_1 at $[E] \in M$ is a *dense* open subscheme in the Grassmanian variety $Gr(l, H^0(E))$.

Proof. In case $\operatorname{rk} E = 1$ the lemma is easy. If $\operatorname{rk} E \geq 2$, then, first, the condition (a) and Lemma 2.1.0.1 imply that the generic evaluation map is monomorphic. Second, the same condition and Lemma 5.0.0.10 imply that the generic factorsheaf of the evaluation map is acceptable. Finally, condition (b) and the Lemma 1.16.0.4 imply that the generic factorsheaf is stable.

7.4.0.6 Lemma. Assume that $[E'] \in M'$ and the following two conditions are true:

(a) $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}, c_1(E) = 1$,

(b) Either one of the following is true:

  (1) $\operatorname{rk} F \geq 2$;

  (2) $\operatorname{rk} F = 1$, $F \simeq J_\xi(L)$, where L is ample, ξ is simple and the pair $(\xi, L + K_X)$ is Caley-Bacharash;

  (3) $\operatorname{rk} F = 0$, $F \simeq (i_C)_* B$, where $i_C : C \to X$ is a reduced irreducible curve on X, and B is an invertible sheaf on C.

Then the fiber of π_2 at $[E'] \in M'$ is a *dense* open subscheme in the Grassmanian variety $Gr(l, H^1(E')^\vee)$.

Proof. The Lemma 1.15 and condition (b) imply that the generic extension of E' with $W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ is acceptable, and condition (a) and Lemma 1.16.0.3 imply that the generic extension is stable.

8 The correspondence and the Brill-Noether loci

Let (X, H) be a polarized surface, and assume that $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Let $L = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \operatorname{Num} X \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{t}{2}$, where $t = 2$ if $\operatorname{Num} X$ is even and $t = 1$ otherwise. There is a Chern character map $\operatorname{ch} : K_0(X) \to L$, $[E] \mapsto \operatorname{ch}(E) = (\operatorname{rk}(E), \operatorname{ch}_1(E), \operatorname{ch}_2(E))$.

Let $v \in L$, $v = (r, v_1, v_2)$, and let $(E, V) \in A_l(v)$. We get an exact sequence

$$0 \to V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to E' \to 0 \tag{8.1}$$

and the long exact sequence

$$0 \to V \to H^0(X, E) \to H^0(X, E') \to 0$$

which implies $h^0(X, E') = h^0(X, E) - l$. Let $A_l^k(v)$ be the preimage of $BN_k(v)$ under π_1, and let $v' = (r - l, v_1, v_2)$. Since $c_t(E') = c_t(E)$, the diagram (7.4) induces the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & A_l^k(v) \arrow[dddll, "(\pi_1)_k"'] \arrow[dddrr, "(\pi_2)_k"] & & \\
 & & \cap & & \\
 & & A_l(v) \arrow[dl, "\pi_1"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_2"] & & \\
BN_k(v) \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & M(v) & & M(v') \arrow[r, phantom, "\supset"] & BN_{k-l}(v')
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.2}$$

It is clear that $((\pi_1)_k)^{-1}(m) = (\pi_1)^{-1}(m)$ and $((\pi_2)_k)^{-1}(m') = (\pi_2)^{-1}(m')$.

9 Globally generated line bundles on curves

9.1 Varieties ${}_pA_d^k$ and ${}_pB_d^k$

9.1.1

Let C be a smooth irreducible genus g curve and L be a degree d line bundle on C. Let $L^D = K_C L^{-1}$, and let us fix a point $p \in C$. The adjunction sequence

$$0 \to L(-p) \to L \to L_p \to 0$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(C, L(-p)) \to H^0(C, L) \overset{e(L,p)}{\to} L_p \to H^1(C, L(-p)) \to H^1(C, L) \to 0$$

Let $M = L(-p)$.

The long exact sequence above implies that there are two possibilities: either

(1) $e(L, p)$ is epimorphic, in which case the isomorphism $H^1(C, M) \overset{\sim}{\to} H^1(C, M(p))$ together with Serre duality imply $e(M^D, p) = 0$;

or

(2) $e(L, p) = 0$, in which case $e(M^D, p)$ is epimorphic.

In other words, L is globally generated at p iff M^D is not globally generated at p; and L is not globally generated at p iff $h^0(C, M) = h^0(C, M(p))$.

9.1.2

Let now V_d^k be a scheme parametrizing invertible sheaves of degree d such that $\dim H^0(C, L) = k$; this is a difference of two Brill-Noether loci in the Picard variety of C; and let ${}_pA_d^k$ be the (closed) subscheme in V_d^k parametrizing invertible sheaves L not globally generated at p (it is constructed in paragraph 9.2.1.) We define ${}_pB_d^k$ as ${}_pB_d^k = D({}_pA_{2g-2-d}^{k-d-1+g})$; in other words, $L \in B$ iff $L^D \in A$.

The map $L \mapsto L(p)$ establishes isomorphisms

$$V_d^k - {}_pB_d^k \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_pA_{d+1}^k, \tag{9.1}$$

$${}_pB_d^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{d+1}^k - {}_pA_{d+1}^k \tag{9.2}$$

In other words, the first isomorphism can be formulated as follows: twisting with $\mathcal{O}_C(p)$ establishes an isomorphism of the (open) subscheme in moduli of M given by the condition $h^0(C, M(p)) = h^0(C, M)$ and the (closed) subscheme in the moduli of L given by the condition that L is not globally generated at p.

The isomorphisms of twisting with p and $-p$ are shown on the Figures 1 and 1 as acting in the plane with (d, k)-coordinates.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Pic}^d C & \operatorname{Pic}^{d+1} C \\
V_d^k - {}_pB_d^k \arrow[r] & {}_pA_{d+1}^k \\
{}_pB_d^k \arrow[ddr] & V_{d+1}^k - {}_pA_{d+1}^k \\
 & {}_pA_{d+1}^{k+1} \\
 & V_{d+1}^{k+1} - {}_pA_{d+1}^{k+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 1: Twisting with p

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Pic}^d C & \operatorname{Pic}^{d+1} C \\
{}_pB_d^{k-1} & \\
V_d^k - {}_pB_d^k & V_{d+1}^k - {}_pA_{d+1}^k \\
 & {}_pA_{d+1}^k \\
{}_pB_d^{k+1} & V_{d+1}^{k+1} - {}_pA_{d+1}^{k+1} \arrow[uuul] \\
V_d^{k+1} - {}_pB_d^{k+1} & {}_pA_{d+1}^{k+1} \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 2: Twisting with $-p$

9.1.3

We will now vary the point p. In paragraph 9.2.2 we construct a scheme $\underline{A}_d^k$ (and $\underline{B}_d^k$) such that there are diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_d^k \times C \arrow[d] & \supset & \underline{B}_d^k \arrow[dll] & & V_d^k \times C \arrow[d] & \supset & \underline{A}_d^k \arrow[dll] \\
C & & & & C & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the fibers are isomorphic to ${}_pB_d^k$ and ${}_pA_d^k$, respectively.

Let $B_d^k \subset V_d^k$ be the image of $\underline{A}_d^k$ under the of projection map $\underline{B}_d^k \to V_d^k$. It can be described as a closed subscheme in V_d^k parametrizing such M that $h^0(M) = h^0(M(p))$ for some point $p \in C$. In the same way there is a closed subscheme $A_d^k \subset V_d^k$ parametrizing non-globally generated invertible sheaves.

9.1.4

Now consider the morphism

$$\phi : \ (V_{d-1}^k \times C) - \underline{B}_{d-1}^k \ \ \to \ \ A_d^k$$

mapping (M, p) to $M(p)$. It is clear that this morphism is surjective and for $k \geq 1$ is quasi-finite (the set of points where the given line bundle is not globally generated is finite).

The map ϕ can be included into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(V_{d-1}^k \times C) - \underline{B}_{d-1}^k \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[dr, "\phi"'] & \underline{A}_d^k \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
 & A_d^k
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π is quasi-finite.

Corollary. Let $k \geq 1$. Then for each irreducible component $(A_d^k)_i$ of A_d^k (if any) we have $\dim(A_d^k)_i \leq \max_j \dim(V_{d-1}^k)_j + 1$, where $(V_{d-1}^k)_j$ are the irreducible components of V_{d-1}^k.

9.2 Varieties of non-globally generated line bundles.

9.2.1 Bundles not globally generated at a given point

Let C be a curve and L be a family of invertible sheaves on C with base T, i.e., an invertible sheaf L on $C \times T$.

In this paragraph we construct a subscheme of bundles not globally generated at a given point $p \in C$.

Let $\pi : C \times T \to T$ be the projection. Let us fix a point $p \in C$, and let $D_p = p \times T$. Applying $R^1\pi_*$ to the adjunction sequence on $C \times T$

$$0 \to L(-D_p) \to L \to L|_{D_p} \to 0$$

we get the exact sequence

$$R^1\pi_*L(-D_p) \overset{\phi_p}{\to} R^1\pi_*L \to 0$$

(we consider the R^1 piece since base change works better for top cohomology groups.)

Let $t \in T$ and $L_t = L|_{C\times t}$. Tensoring the exact sequence above with the residue field $k(t)$, we get

$$H^1(C, L_t(-p)) \overset{(\phi_p)(t)}{\to} H^1(C, L_t) \to 0$$

which is a part of the long cohomological sequence associated with

$$0 \to (L_t)(-p) \to L_t \to (L_t)|_p \to 0$$

In particular, L_t is not globally generated at $p \in C$ iff $\ker(\phi_p)(t) \neq 0$.

In general, for a morphism of coherent sheaves $\phi : F \to G$ the set of points $t \in T$ such that $\phi(t)$ is not an isomorphism is constructible.

Now let V^kT be a (locally closed) subscheme in T given by the condition $\dim_k H^0(C, L_t) = k$. The sheaf $R^1\pi_*L$ is locally free when restricted to V^kT, and tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker\phi_p \to R^1\pi_*L(-D_p) \overset{\phi_p}{\to} R^1\pi_*L \to 0$$

with $k(t)$ demonstrates that the set of $t \in V^kT$ such that L_t is not globally generated at $p \in C$ is the support of the coherent sheaf $\ker\phi_p$ which implies that it is closed.

9.2.2 Varying the point $p \in C$.

Let C be a curve and L be a family of invertible sheaves on C with a base T. In this paragraph we construct a (relative) variety of bundles not globally generated at a some point $p \in C$.

Let $C_1 = C_2 = C$, and consider the diagram of projection morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_1 \times C_2 \times T \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
C_1 \times T \arrow[d, "\pi'"] \\
T
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let Δ be a divisor on $C_1 \times C_2 \times T$ obtained by pulling back the diagonal from $C_1 \times C_2$, and abusing notations we write L instead of $pr^*_{C_2\times T}L$.

Applying $R^1\pi_*$ to the adjunction sequence

$$0 \to L(-\Delta) \to L \to L|_\Delta \to 0,$$

we get the exact sequence

$$R^1\pi_*L(-\Delta) \xrightarrow{\phi} R^1\pi_*L \to 0$$

of coherent sheaves on $C_1 \times T$. If $(p,t) \in C_1 \times T$, then, tensoring the exact sequence above with the residue filed $k(p,t)$ of the point $(p,t) \in C_1 \times T$, we get the exact sequence

$$H^1(C, L_t(-p)) \overset{\phi \otimes k(p,t)}{\to} H^1(C, L_t) \to 0$$

as in the previous paragraph, and it follows that the constructible subset $\operatorname{Deg}\phi \subset C_1 \times T$ consisting of points (p,t) for which $\phi \otimes k(p,t)$ is not a fibervise isomorphism coincides with the set of points $(p,t) \in C_1 \times T$ for which L_t is not globally generated at $p \in C$. (Now taking the direct image $\pi'(\operatorname{Deg}\phi)$, we can get a subset $A(T)$ in T of points $t \in T$ such that the invertible sheaf L_t is not globally generated.)

9.3 Globally generated line bundles on Brill-Noether-general curves

Lemma. Let C be a curve such that every V_d^i is irreducible and of the expected dimension $\rho = g - i(i - \chi)$, where $\chi = d + 1 - g$.

Assume that we are given $k \geq 2$ such that $\rho = g - k(k - \chi) \geq 0$. Then there is a globally generated line bundle $L \in V_d^k$.

Proof. We prove that A_d^k is equidimensional in V_d^k and compute its codimension.

Consider the variety $\underline{A}_d^k$ (which might be empty). It has a map to C with fibers ${}_pA_d^k$. We have ${}_pA_d^k \simeq V_{d-1}^k - {}_pB_{d-1}^k$, where ${}_pB_{d-1}^k$ is closed in V_{d-1}^k. It follows that ${}_pA_d^k$ is either irreducible of dimension $\dim V_{d-1}^k = g - k(k-(\chi-1)) = \rho - k$ or empty, which implies that $\underline{A}_d^k$ is either equidimensional of dimension $k(k-(\chi-1))+1$ or empty. (Remark: the cases $\rho < k$, $\rho = k$ and $\rho > k$ should be considered separately.)

Now there is a quasi-finite map $\underline{A}_d^k \to A_d^k$. It follows that A_d^k is equidimensional of dimension $\rho - k + 1$ or empty.

Now A_d^k is a subscheme in V_d^k which is irreducible of dimension ρ. It follows that A_d^k is of codimension $k-1$ in V_d^k or empty. In particular, there is a globally generated line bundle $L \in V_d^k$.

The proof is illustrated on the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}_pA_d^k \arrow[d] \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & V_{d-1}^k - {}_pB_{d-1}^k \\
\underline{A}_d^k \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \\
A_d^k \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & V_d^k
\end{tikzcd}$$

9.3.0.1 Proposition. Let C be a generic curve of degree g, and assume that we are given d, $k \geq 2$ such that $\rho = g - k(k - \chi) \geq 0$. Then there is a globally generated line bundle $L \in V_d^k$.

The proof follows from the Lazarsfeld's theorem on the dimension of W_d^r of a generic genus g curve and the previous lemma.

9.3.0.2 Existence of globally generated vector bundles in moduli spaces on K3 Let (X, H) be a polarized K3 surface. Assume that $\operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \mathbb{Z}h$, $h = [H]$, and consider a nonempty moduli space M of vector bundles on S with $\operatorname{rk} E = r$, $c_1(E) = h$ and $c_2(E) = d$. Then there is a globally generated vector bundle $E \in M$.

Proof. First, by previous Lemma, there is a curve C on X in the linear system $|h|$ and a globally generated line bundle L on C of degree $2g - 2 - d$. Then the Lazarsfeld's construction (cf. the first part of the paper) implies that there is a globally generated vector bundle E in the moduli space M.

References

[1] Grothendieck, A. *Elements de geometrie algebrique. I. Le langage des schemas.* Inst. Hautes Itudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 4, 1960

[2] Grothendieck, A. *Elements de geometrie algebrique. IV. Etude locale des schemas et des morphismes de schemas.* Inst. Hautes Itudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 20, 1964

[3] , Mumford, David. *Abelian varieties.* Oxford University Press, London 1970

[4] Hartshorne, Robin. *Algebraic geometry.* Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[5] Griffiths, Phillip; Harris, Joseph, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, New York, 1994.

[6] Griffiths, Phillip; Harris, Joseph, *On the variety of special linear systems on a general algebraic curve*, Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), pp. 233-272

[7] Matsumura, Hideyuki. *Commutative ring theory.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[8] Fulton, William, *Intersection theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984

[9] Huybrechts, Daniel; Lehn, Manfred. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves.* Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[10] He, Min, *Espaces de modules de syst'emes coherents*, Internat. J. Math. 9 (1998), no. 5, 545.

[11] Leyenson, Maxim, *On the Brill-Noether theory for K3 surfaces*, `arXiv:math.AG/0511659`